

# **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

## **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

### **Quarterly Risk Update Q3 2024/5**

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor Colella
Portfolio Holder Consulted	Yes
Relevant Head of Service	Debra Goodall, Head of Finance and Customer Services
Report Author Peter Carpenter	Job Title: Director of Resources/Deputy Chief Executive Contact Pete Carpenter email: peter.carpenter@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk Contact Tel:
Wards Affected	All
Ward Councillor(s) consulted	N/A
Relevant Strategic Purpose(s)	Aspiration, work and financial independence
Non-Key Decision	
If you have any questions about this report, please contact the report author in advance of the meeting.	

#### **1. SUMMARY**

This report sets out Council activity to identify, monitor and mitigate risk.

#### **2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

**The Committee/Cabinet is asked to consider:**

- The present list of Corporate and Departmental Risks and their associated mitigations and request any additional risks to be considered.

#### **3. KEY ISSUES**

##### **Background**

- 3.1 In 2018/19, an audit of Risk Management provided an assurance level of limited assurance due to weaknesses in the design and inconsistent application of controls. As a result of the audit, a review was commissioned and undertaken by Zurich Municipal to consider the Council's risk management arrangements and to advise of any recommendations. In response to the Zurich review a Risk Management Strategy was produced for both Bromsgrove District Council and Redditch Borough Council.
- 3.2 A follow-up review was carried out by Internal Audit in March 2021 (Final Report June 2021) with the purpose of identifying what progress had been made against the Risk Management Strategies. At that time there was a lack of evidence that the actions within the Risk Management Strategies had been fully completed and embedded within the Councils and therefore no assurance could be given.

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

- 3.3 This is now the ninth cycle of reviewing Corporate and Departmental Risks since the original baselining of Risks in April 2022. Over this period, we have seen:
- Departmental ownership of risks and reviews at Management Teams on a monthly basis.
  - Active review, mitigation, and reduction of risks – ensuring they become managed as part of business as usual.
  - Updating of the 4Risk System – as the Authorities repository of this information.
  - That the Officer Risk Group have actively reduced risk numbers through their work and that a common approach to risk is now being embedded within the organisation.
  - That the Risk Level has moved to a **Moderate Assurance** level in May 2023.
  - A follow up Audit is taking place in the 2024/5 financial year
- 3.4 As set out above, the Officer Risk Group contains representatives of all Council departments including: The Deputy Chief Executive, The Building Control Manager, The Human Resources and Development Manager, The ICT Operations Team Leader, The Exchequer Services Manager, The Business Development Manager (Property and Leisure), The CCTV and Telecare Services Manager, The Housing Tenancy & Advisory Manager, The Environmental Services Manager, The Housing Capital Programme and Contracts Manager.

#### The Definition of a Corporate Risk

- 3.5 The Council has existing Corporate and Departmental Risks. Members of the Risk Board were not sure of the link on how Risks on system had become Corporate in nature.
- 3.6 The following definition of a of how Risks move from being “departmental” to being “corporate” in nature was recommended by the Risk Group and approved by CMT.
- “For a **Risk** to move from being **Departmental** in nature to being **Corporate** in nature that it **must have significant impact on Councils finances, be cross departmental in nature and/or result in Serious reputational damage**. The Officer Risk Board will vet departmental risks using this definition to move then to Corporate Risks at their quarterly meetings.”
- 3.7 At the June 2022 Officer Risk Board it was agreed that “Green” Departmental Risks should be taken off this list if they have been to two consecutive meetings and mitigating actions have been fully put into place for them. This report takes account of this requirement being six meetings since the original baseline was reported.

#### Corporate Risks

- 3.8 Corporate Risks are summarised in the following table. There have been two additions in relations to the upcoming Devolution/Local Government Reorganisation and also the embedding of new Chief Executive and Deputy Chief Executive, both of whom start on the

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

31<sup>st</sup> March 2025. In addition to this there have been a number of changes with Financial Pressures (COR10), Resolution of the Approved Budget Position (COR17), Financial Position Rectification (COR20), Delivery of Levelling Up and Towns Fund Initiatives (COR22) reducing in RAG rating. There are now five of these Corporate Risks which are classed as RED.

#### **Changes in Corporate Risks from Q4 2023/4 to Q3 2024/5 (rolling 4 Quarter view)**

Note, Appendix A sets out the complete listing of Departmental Risks. These have been linked to Corporate Risks where relevant.

	Pos Q4	Pos Q1	Pos Q2	Pos Q3	Corporate Risk Description
Both	COR 9	COR 9	COR 9	COR 9	Non Compliance with Health and Safety Legislation
Both	COR 10	COR 10	COR 10	COR 10	Decisions made to address financial pressures and implement new projects that are not informed by robust data and evidence
Both	COR 14	COR 14	COR 14	COR 14	Non adherence with Statutory Inspection Policy
Both	COR 15				Impact from Changes to Partner Funding Arrangements
Both	COR 16	COR 16	COR 16	COR 16	Management of Contracts
Both	COR 17	COR 17	COR 17	COR 17	Resolution of the Approved Budget Position
Both	COR 18	COR 18	COR 18	COR 18	Protection from Cyber Attack
Both	COR 19	COR19	COR19	COR19	Adequate Workforce Planning
Both	COR 20	COR20	COR20	COR20	Financial Position Rectification
Brom	COR 21	COR21	COR21	COR21	BROMSGROVE DC Being placed into special measures due to quality of planning application decisions
Both	COR 22	COR22	COR22	COR22	Delivery of Levelling Up and UK SPF Initiatives

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Both	COR 23	COR23	COR23	COR23	Cost of Living Crisis
Both	COR 24	COR24	COR24	COR24	New Customer Facing Interface
Both	COR25	COR 25	COR 25	COR 25	Environment Bill
Both	COR26	COR26			General and Local Election
Both				COR27	Devolution and Local Government Reorganisation
Both				COR28	New Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Exec

#### 3.9 Mitigating factors for the Corporate Risks are:

**Non-Compliance of Health and Safety Data Standard Operating Procedures - SOP** (H&S etc) Health and Safety Committee meets regularly H & S training for staff Health checks DSE assessment and reporting software First Aid training in place Safeguarding Policy and procedures Risk Assessments Updated inspection policy Regular review of policies Continued updates to Health and Safety Committee Data on intranet. The Council's Health & Safety arrangements are in the process of being audited externally and a report will be forthcoming.

There are 4 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk.

#### **Projects being informed by robust data and evidence –**

Cabinet have now approved an updated Project Management Process and extra resource, based on work initially highlighted by the Audit Task Group work in February 2023. Now that 2025/26 budget has been set, although there is a deficit over the new three year MTFP timescales, this risk has been reduced to "green". This is because there is now far more certainty over the Councils overall financial position following the closure of accounts up to the 2023/24 financial year, the implementation of the new Regeneration and Property Directorate which now manage the Councils highest risk contracts and the governance processes now in place for major contracts.

There is 1 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk.

**Non Adherence with the statutory inspection policy** - Specialist resource in place to support delivery actions.

- Further review of monitoring arrangements
- Further implementation of insurance recommendations

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

- Contracts reviewed to ensure suppliers undertake roles
- Training plan developed to ensure staff clear of responsibilities
- Development of robust action plan

There are 11 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk. Should this not be delivered the consequences are significant, including threat to life.

**Management of contracts** - Procurement Strategy was updated and training provided. Service Protocols, setting out expectations of service user and procurement went live 1st Jan 23 as did NO PO NO PAY and both are being monitored. Reports are going to Cabinet quarterly setting out new requirements in terms of contracting and transparency for the upcoming year as part of the quarterly Finance and Performance Report. The changes to procurement legislation will now take place in February 2025 and processes will be updated accordingly. This remains a key Corporate Risk due to the magnitude of contracts under management but has now moved to Green due to the mitigations in place, although a full review will need to take place in Q4 following the changes in legislation.

There are 5 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk.

**Resolution of the approved budget position** - MTFP process is now 2 stages and this was followed for both the 2023/4 and 2024/5 budgets band this will also be followed for 2025/6. The final MTFP contains the S151 Officer's robustness statement which is key to sign off of a sustainable budget. Both Councils had sustainable ongoing budgets over the 3 years periods in the 2024/5 MTFP. Budget Working Groups scrutinise proposals before Cabinet. Compared to neighbouring Councils and in the opinion of our Bankers, we are in a comparatively strong financial position. Risk has been reduced from Amber to Green following the Delivery of the 2025/26 Tranche 2 budget. Although the Local Government Settlement has been poor for District and Borough Councils, the strength of the Councils position means that even with ongoing deficit positions there is scope for investment and adjustment for the 3 year 2026/27 MTFP once announced.

**Protection from cyber attack** – weekly penetration test, annual PSN Security Audit, regular internal audits, occasional LGA audit, Cyber Insurance requirements. In addition, we have implementing KnowBe4, a software tool for both officers and Members. Cyber Training for Members has been evaluated and now requires rolling out. Cyber Insurance has been extended for a further year but importance and risks in this area have moved this risk to red despite all the mitigations in place. A recent Internal Audit has highlighted possible risk areas across a number of systems which will now require mitigating. One of the mitigations has been dual sign on to systems, even when staff are working from Corporate Buildings. More ongoing funding was put in the 2024/5 and ongoing budgets to allow additional resources to help mitigate the risk. This is a nationwide issue.

There is 1 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk.

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

**Adequate workforce planning** - Workforce plan was launched in the summer of 2023 and services now know their high risk areas and working to the agreed action plan to help mitigate workforce risks such as loss of staff. The challenges remain on delivering to these high risk areas especially given the impending Local Government Reorganisation. There are specific workstreams, linked to Agile Working and Culture, within this initiative. The workforce plan is being integrated into the Councils wider management processes, with monthly board meetings. However more work is required before the Risk level can change.

There are 3 departmental risks linked to this corporate risk.

**Financial position rectification** - Audit Committee reports set out progress on the financial recovery process back to Cabinet, Audit & Council. Risk was up-rated to Red due to lack of clarity from the Government over Audit deadlines. An updated S24 Statement was issued in October 2023 and the Council holds significant risk in that they will run out of time for the 20/21, 21/22 and 2022/23 Audit processes. The Council have now produced accounts up to the 2023/24 financial year and expect to deliver draft 2024/25 accounts by June 2025. This also means that the actual financial position has now been conformed although issues still remain as across the sector Audits have not taken place. **This risk has reduced in level.**

Grant Thornton have given disclaimer opinions for all three years up to 2022/23 as per a letter received in August 2024 and reviewed by Audit Committees in September and October. The Council is still onboarding its new Auditors Ernst & Young and until that has been completed an opinion cannot be made on the 2023/24 Accounts.

The Councils are now in a similar position to the majority of other Councils in England – for which over 600 Audits up to 2022/3 have received “Disclaimer Opinions.”

**Bromsgrove Planning Issues** - Decisions which could influence statistics are discussed at management level. Principal officers are aware of risk. Statistics are monitored quarterly. External advice re good practice and member training provided. This issue is still not resolved and additional work is being undertaken to establish present quality thresholds, however a decision is understood to be imminent. Whilst the impact remains the same, a collection of statistics recently undertaken has indicated an increase in the likelihood of this event occurring.

**Delivery of Levelling Up and UKSPF Projects** – There is a monthly project Board overseeing all projects. Formal governance structures for Levelling Up Fund projects. The biggest issue in all these workstreams had been delivery before the funding deadlines. However, Levelling Up Project delivery has now been allowed up to September 2026. The creation of the new Regeneration and Property directorate in August and the transfer of functions back from NWeDR means the Council now has dedicated Project Managers, appointed during the summer, working on both sets of projects which increases overall control.

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

In Bromsgrove, the Windsor Street and Public Realm elements will be completed by Q4 of this financial year which leaves the Market Hall portion of the Project, which is being delivered by Kier, for delivery. Presently, the overall budget for all 3 projects is £1.4m over the initial budgets, although this expected to be managed down. The Council are looking at ways to fund this gap including the use of GSBLEP funds

**Cost of Living Crisis** - Housing Register Access to Housing Benefit and Universal Credit Council budget. We have also noted an increase in insurance claims due to fires which are linked to this. Our Partnership Boards guide people to alternate providers of support. We understand this impacts different stakeholder Groups in different ways and need ways of evaluating the impact on them and the Council's services.

**New Customer facing interface** - Presently delivered by a number of systems that are not linked together and require manual intervention for internal reporting. This has increased in risk to Red due to the volume of work required in relation to the ensuring the Council's data is up to date and can be used directly by our customers in self- service interfaces. A work stream reported back in Q2 of 24/5 setting out data deficiencies and rectification routes. A Data project has been set up to rectify these deficiencies. However, full resolution will be a medium term project.

**The Environment Bill** - New Statutory Requirements for delivery of a dedicated Food Waste Service, and changes to materials requiring collection by both Domestic & Commercial Waste Services. Financial impact on Councils to deliver new services. Primarily increased revenue costs on staff and vehicle operation and maintenance. Offset by Capital funding from Central Government to support assets related to "new burdens". Mitigation is partnership working with Worcestershire Waste Board and Members Task group to review requirements and future service delivery direction. Jointly funded partnership role by all Worcestershire LA's to support this work, alongside support from WRAP (Environmental NGO specialising in the Waste Industry). This is still Red due to potential significant funding gaps and non-receipt of final comprehensive legislation.

**Devolution and Local Government Reorganisation** – this new risk is significant and will impact all facets of Council operations. Within this parliament all Councils within Worcestershire will be disbanded and replaced by Unitary Authorities. Councils within Worcestershire have been invited to give high level "agreed" solutions/options by the 21<sup>st</sup> March 2025 with detailed solutions by November 2025. The Council will need to deliver business as usual until vesting day and there are significant risks linked to operations, relationships with other Councils within the County, finances and potential loss of staff that will need to be managed.

**New Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive** – the Councils have appointed a new Chief Executive and Deputy Chief Executive. Both will start on the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025. Given the magnitude of the risks set out in this report, especially Local Government Re-

# **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

## **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

organisation, this Officer change is significant. Relationships will need to be remade/reset both internally and externally and this will need to be done quickly. The Councils are putting in place mitigations and processes to de-risk this process as much as possible

### **Departmental Risks**

- 3.10 The Council procured the 4Risk system to manage its risks. Risks are subdivided into Corporate Risks and then Departmental Risks. Each risk has an individual record applied to it to ensure all the pertinent information is captured.

The original Risk Baseline in April 2022 was (as shown in Appendix B):

- 105 Departmental Risks – 15 Red, 35 Amber, 55 Green.  
This reduced through the first set of reviews in June 2022 to:
- 82 Departmental Risks – 2 Red, 29 Amber, 51 Green.  
The next set of reviews in December reduced this number further to
- 55 Departmental Risks – 2 Red, 21 Amber, 32 Green.  
The third Set of reviews in March 23 reduced this number to
- 51 Departmental Risks – 1 Red, 25 Amber, 25 Green.  
The fourth set of reviews in June 23 reduced the number to
- 43 Departmental Risks - 1 Red, 26 Amber, 16 Green  
The fifth set of returns in September 23 the number remained at 43
- 43 Departmental Risks - 1 Red, 26 Amber, 16 Green
- The sixth set of returns in December 23 the number reduced to 39
- 39 Departmental Risks - 1 Red, 25 Amber, 13 Green
- The seventh set of returns in March 24 the number increased to 43
- 43 Departmental Risks - 2 Red, 28 Amber, 13 Green
- The eighth set of returns in June 24 the number reduced to 40
- 40 Departmental Risks - 1 Red, 25 Amber, 14 Green
- The ninth set of returns in September 24 the number stays at 40

- 3.11 Position at the 30<sup>th</sup> September 2024 is 40 departmental risks.



## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Service Area	Red	Amber	Green	Total
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	0	5	5	<b>10</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	6	2	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	1	2	<b>3</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>40</b>

#### 3.12 Position as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2024 – Q3 2024/25

The position as at December 2024 takes account of the new Regeneration and Property directorate and 4 risks that sat in the Legal Services directorate have transferred into the new directorate. Overall, there has been a reduction of one departmental risk.

Service Area	Red	Amber	Green	Total
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	0	5	4	<b>9</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities &amp; Democratic Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Regeneration and Property Services</b>	0	2	2	<b>4</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	5	3	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	1	2	<b>3</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>39</b>

3.13 The table at Appendix A sets out the detail of these departmental Risks and links them where relevant to Corporate Risks. The table sets out the RAG rating for each of these risks for Quarters 4 2023/24 and Quarters 1-3 2024/25. Those risks that have been mitigated have a “black” colour in the quarter that stopped becoming a Departmental Risk.

There are now no Red Risks.

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

WRS risks, as per their Board Pack for February 2025 are attached at Appendix C.

- 3.14 The departmental risk position has not changed in number but the allocations in terms of RAG has changed.
- 3.15 This report sets out the position two and a half years following the initial baselining of council risks. This is the ninth review, following the implementation of the Action Plan due to the “No Assurance” internal Audit reports. The key point a year in is to ensure that risk management is embedded within the organisations:
- Officer Risk Board continues to take place quarterly to embed processes and validate Risks on the register.
  - Each department has nominated a representative to a Risk Board. These report back to management teams who ensure Departmental Risk Registers are updated at least quarterly and discussed at management teams monthly.
  - The Audit Committees of both Councils reviewed Risk Registers on a quarterly basis. These reports have also been presented to Cabinet although this has stopped after June 2023 as this should be a function of the Audit Committees.
  - CMT are updated on risk management issues in their monthly “assurance” meeting, which is a week after the Risk Board meets on a quarterly basis.
  - The Corporate Risk Register is now discussed as an early item on the agenda at meetings of the Audit, Standards and Governance Committee, as per the Audit Task Group’s recommendation.
  - The Risk Level has moved from a Limited Assurance in March 2022 to a Moderate Assurance in May 2023. A second follow up Risk Audit is under way.

#### **In terms of departmental lists:**

- 3.16 It should be noted that a significant number of departmental risks are linked to regulation, and this feeds through to the corporate risks. If we fail on one of these major compliance issues the impact on the Council and its stakeholders can be significant.
- 3.17 We now have a new Corporate Risk in relation to Devolution and Local Government Review. Councils are not clear on the final rules but it is clear that with all Councils in Worcestershire being disbanded and replaced by one or more Unitary Authorities it will be important to ensure business as usual is not significantly impacted. It is expected that over the next two years there will be significant changes on a quarter by quarter basis on departmental risks
- 3.17 Community risks, even though many are green, are still all relevant as departmental and cannot be moved to the lower level of “business as usual” as these link to fixed term contracts. The most significant risk is now the Safeguarding Risk which affects all service areas and there are action plans that need to be followed. There is a potential new risk in regard to Lifeline “cyber essentials” compliance to ensure the Council does not lose its accreditation. This will probably move to being a formal risk in the Q3 report.

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

- 3.18 The majority of Housing risks are compliance and/or Health and Safety led. In terms of Homelessness (HOU7) there are processes in place now to start to add additional housing capacity, but this will take time.
- 3.19 Overall, to give a better Housing service, the Council is implementing Total Mobile to ensure more efficient delivery. This links to a number of the Housing items.
- 3.20 It should be noted that with Gas Safety Inspections (HOU14) we also need the tenants agreement to do the works and a month's notice is generally given. Damp and Mould work (HOU28) has moved back to Green.
- 3.21 There is a risk in finance linked to staff non-compliance of procurement processes. This will be mitigated by additional training. It should be noted, that to provide more financial support to managers (FIN1) courses are being devised to improve budget manager skills and their understanding of how best to effectively use TechOne.
- 3.22 The Building Control risk will become more focused towards the end of the financial year as if Building Control staff are not accredited, we cannot use them. This will also possibly limit the number of staff available in the agency market. Existing staff are presently undertaking accreditation exams in order to mitigate the issue.
- 3.23 Given the significant cyber risks faces by Council's, as noted in the Corporate Risks section, it is important Members and Officers engage to mitigate this risk. Using KnowBe4 functionality is assisting and the associated penetration tests is assisting in mitigating this risk for both Members and Staff. As noted earlier, the Council have successfully renewed their cyber insurance policy. It should be noted that ICT7 is dependent on departments ensuring they are compliant in regards to their ICT requirements
- 3.24 With Property, we are reviewing all our assets as there is a significant impact on EPC (Energy) ratings and what will be allowable after 2026. This could have significant cost impacts for the Council. The New Assistant Director of Regeneration and Property is undertaking a full review of all risk and issues linked to these areas and this will feed through to future reports.

#### **Insurance**

- 3.25 We have successfully renewed our Cyber insurance. It seems that the markets have settled down following the issues we had in renewing in 2022 and the premium has only increased marginally.
- 3.26 There is not significant change since the Q2 Report The Council are in their final year of the present insurance contracts. The Council is now running a year after other Worcestershire Councils who have renewed in this financial year. As such, the Council is just renewing/extending its contracts for a single year. An issue still remains Property information. Insurers are now requesting significantly more detail and it will take 12 months

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

to get data to the required standard. This issue does highlight a deficiency in property data capture – which links to the Corporate Customer Risk linked to data.

- 3.27 The increases in fires seen in recent reports have reduced as have Damp/Mould claims and it should be noted that we have the lowest claims levels across Worcestershire for Parks and Open Spaces.
- 3.28 In assessing renewal data we have seen a large reduction in trips/slips, although this could be due to more of the population working from home and potholes is not the issue in Bromsgrove that it is in other areas.
- 3.29 It is important when fighting claims that we maintain the correct records and the new CIVICA Housing system is helping with this.

Our insurers are highlighting 2 major risks for the sector:

- Under-Insurance of Council assets due to incomplete assets. Insurers are now starting to intimate that they will only insure to a certain level and not the full value if the data is not fully updated.  
The use of Artificial Intelligence – as it is not clear on what decisions are being made with this data and what the risks implications are because of this

#### **The Risk Management Framework**

- 3.30 Risk Management Training. Given the active management of risks by service departments, we have seen numbers of departmental risks reduced by over 50% although Corporate Risks have increased by 20%. There is the requirement for Corporate Risk training (Members and Officers) and existing processes need further embedded in the organisations to increase assurance. This will be organised once both Council's Corporate Strategies have been finalised.

#### **4. Legal Implications**

- 4.1 No Legal implications have been identified.

#### **5. Financial Implications**

- 5.1 The Council spend significant sums insuring itself and must also hold Reserves to mitigate the costs of risks should they happen. A comprehensive Risk Management approach ensures risk and its consequences, including financial ones, are minimised.

#### **6. Strategic Purpose Implications**

##### **Relevant Strategic Purpose**

# **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

## **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

- 6.1 A comprehensive Risk Management approach ensures **Risk and its Consequences** is minimised for the Council.

### **Climate Change Implications**

- 6.2 The green thread runs through the Council plan. This includes risks linked to activities and actions that link to our climate.

## **7. Other Implications**

### **Customer / Equalities and Diversity Implications**

- 7.1 If risks are not mitigated it can lead to events that have Customer/Equalities and Diversity implications for the Council.

### **Operational Implications**

- 7.2 Risks are inherent in almost all the Councils operational activities and therefore significant risks need to be identified, monitored and mitigated.

## **8. RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 8.1 This report is about Risk Management, its quantification and mitigation across Council services.

- 8.2 These risks are monitored, reported and mitigated through the processes and officers set out within this report

## **9. APPENDENCES**

Appendix A – Present Departmental Risks  
Appendix B – Previous Risk Management Reports  
Appendix B – WRS Risk Report

## **AUTHOR OF REPORT**

Name: Pete Carpenter– Director of Resources/Deputy Chief Executive  
E Mail: [Peter.Carpenter@bromsgroveandredditchbc.gov.uk](mailto:Peter.Carpenter@bromsgroveandredditchbc.gov.uk)

## **Appendix A - Present Departmental Risks**

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Council	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Risk Description	Corp Risk
Both	BEN 1	BEN 1	BEN 1	BEN 1	Fail to effectively resource the service to meet demand	COR 9
Both	BEN 3	BEN 3	BEN 3	BEN 3	Impact of Welfare Reform Act	
Both	BEN 6	BEN 6	BEN 6	BEN 6	Impact of ELF scheme	
Both	BEN 7	BEN 7	BEN 7	BEN 7	Benefits subsidy	
Both					Failure to deal with complaints to customers satisfaction	
Both	CUS 7	CUS 7	CUS 7	CUS 7	RBC/BDC Fail to ensure the adequate security arrangements for Customer Service Centres	COR 9
Both	REV 4	REV 4	REV 4		Failure to effectively manage change	
Both	REV 7	REV 7	REV 7	REV 7	Performance Information data is not robust	
Both	REV 11	REV 11	REV 11	REV 11	Reduced collection rates	
Both					Maintenance and Recovery of Collection Performance Post Covid	
Both	REV 17	REV 17	REV 17	REV 17	Failure of corporate Fraud and Compliance team	
Both	REV18	REV18	REV 18	REV 18	Data Compliance	COR24
Both	FIN 1	FIN 1	FIN 1	FIN 1	Fail to provide adequate support to managers to manage their budgets	COR10

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Both	FIN4	FIN4	FIN 4	FIN 4	Fail to effectively manage high value procurements resulting in breach of EU procurement rules.	COR16
Both	FIN7	FIN7	FIN 7	FIN 7	Purchasing Non Compliance	COR16
Both	ENV 7	ENV 7	ENV 7	ENV 7	Fail to adequately maintain and manage car parking and On Street enforcement	
Both	ENV 9	ENV 9	ENV 9	ENV 9	Avoidable damage to fleet arising from staff behaviour and none compliance	
Both	ENV 10	ENV 10	ENV 10	ENV 10	Fail to ensure adequate Health & Safety across the service	COR 9
Both	ENV 11				Fail to engage with the WCC regarding land associated with highway maintenance	
Both	ENV 20	ENV 20	ENV 20	ENV 20	Workforce planning	COR19
Both	ENV22	ENV 22	ENV 22	ENV 22	PDMS - New Environmental database	
Both	ENV26	ENV 26	ENV 26	ENV 26	Environmental Enforcement	
Both	L&C 2	L&C 2	L&C 2	L&C 2	Fail to ensure the health & safety of the Public / Staff and visitors using services (meeting regulatory requirements)	COR 9
Both	LED 9	LED 9	LED 9	LED 9	Failure to ensure that Council Owned buildings, Property Assets and Facilities remain fit for purpose now and for the future.	
Both	LED 10	LED 10	LED 10	LED 10	Fail to optimise the income from Commercial properties	
Both	LED 11	LED 11	LED 11	LED 11	Fail to effectively manage property assets	

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Both	LED 12	LED 12	LED 12	LED 12	Fail to effectively manage the disposal of assets as part of asset disposal programme	
Both	LED 13	LED 13	LED 13	LED 13	Bromsgrove Leisure Contract	COR16
Both	ICT 7	ICT 7	ICT 7	ICT 7	Failure to identify, maintain and test adequate disaster recovery arrangements	COR18
Both	ICT 15	ICT 15	ICT 15	ICT 15	Members and Data protection Training	
Both	PLA 11	PLA 11	PLA 11	PLA 11	Loss of effective Building Control service due to changes in legislation / Hackett / Grenfell	COR19
Both	HOU 7	HOU 7	HOU 7	HOU 7	Fail to manage impact of increasing homelessness cases and Recruitment challenges	COR19
Both	HOU 10	HOU 10	HOU 10	HOU 10	Fail to effectively manage capital projects (also the right contracts are put in place, internal and external)	
Both	HOU 14	HOU 14	HOU 14	HOU 14	Failure to complete annual gas Safety Inspections	COR14
Both	HOU 19	HOU 19	HOU 19	HOU 19	Failure to comply with Charter for Social Housing and the Regulator	COR14
Both	HOU 21	HOU 21	HOU 21	HOU 21	Non-compliance with Asbestos Regulations	COR14
Both	HOU 22	HOU 22	HOU 22	HOU 22	Non-compliance with Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 - Blocks of flats and communal entrances	COR14
Both	HOU 27	HOU 27	HOU 27	HOU 27	Failure to comply with IEE regulations	COR14
Both	HOU 28	HOU 28	HOU 28	HOU 28	Damp and Mould In Council Housing	COR14



## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Both	COM 3	COM 3	COM 3	COM 3	Safeguarding - Inadequate child and adult protection systems/process.	COR14
Both	COM 17	COM 17	COM 17	COM 17	Starting Well Partnership – underperformance of contract	COR16
Both	COM 18	COM 18	COM 18	COM 18	Social Prescribing – underperformance of contract	COR16
Both	HR 2	HR 2	HR 2	HR 2	Fail to monitor and respond to changes in employment legislation	COR14

## Appendix B - Previous Quarters Risk Reports

The baseline risks April 2022 are included in the following table – this is prior to any Risk Board meetings.

Service Area	Red	Amber	Green	Total
Customer Access and Financial Support	12	20	13	45
Finance	0	0	4	4
Environmental Services	0	2	11	13
Leisure & Cultural Services	0	3	3	6
Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services	0	0	7	7
ICT	2	4	5	11
Planning Services	0	1	1	2
Housing	0	4	5	9
Community Services	1	1	5	7
HR	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>105</b>

After the Risk Board on the 22<sup>nd</sup> June, the following changes have been made which saw the number of risks reduce as follows to 82 risks

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Service Area	Red	Amber	Green	Total
Customer Access and Financial Support	0	6	12	18
Finance	0	2	2	4
Environmental Services	0	2	11	13
Leisure & Cultural Services	0	3	3	6
Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services & Property	0	3	9	12
ICT	2	6	2	10
Planning Services	0	2	1	3
Housing	0	3	4	7
Community Services	0	2	6	8
HR	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>82</b>

The two departmental red risks are:

- ICT 7 - Failure to identify, maintain and test adequate disaster recovery arrangements
- ICT 11 - System functionality to manage records

After the Risk Board on in December 22, the following changes have been made which saw the number of risks reduce as follows to 55 Risks:

Service Area	Red	Amber	Green	Total
Customer Access and Financial Support	1	5	10	16
Finance	0	2	2	4
Environmental Services	0	5	3	8
Leisure & Cultural Services	0	1	0	1
Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services & Property	1	1	5	7
ICT	0	2	2	4
Planning Services	0	1	0	1
Housing	0	3	4	7
Community Services	0	1	5	6
HR	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>55</b>

#### **Red Risks – 2 in total**

- REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust.
- LED13 - Legal – Bromsgrove Leisure Contracts.

The previous two ICT Risks have been mitigated.

After the Risk Board on in March 23, the following changes have been made which saw the number of risks reduce as follows to 51 Risks:

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	1	6	9	<b>16</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	3	<b>8</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	4	4	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	1	5	<b>6</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>51</b>

#### **Red Risks – 1 in total**

REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust

#### **Position as at the 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2023**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	1	6	6	<b>13</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	6	2	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	0	3	<b>4</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>43</b>

#### **Red Risks – 1 in total**

REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust

#### **Position as at the 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	1	6	6	<b>13</b>

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

<b>Finance</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	6	2	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	0	3	<b>4</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>43</b>

**Red Risks – 1 in total**

REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust

**Position as at the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2023**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	1	6	4	<b>11</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	6	2	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	0	3	<b>3</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>39</b>

**Red Risks – 1 in total**

REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust

**Position as at the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2024**

## **BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### **Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	2	5	3	<b>11</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	6	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	6	3	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	2	2	<b>3</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>43</b>

The Red Risk are now 2. One continues to be REV7 - Revenues - Performance Indicator data is not robust. The other is Revenue Data Compliance.

#### **Position as at the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2024**

<b>Service Area</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Amber</b>	<b>Green</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Customer Access and Financial Support</b>	1	5	4	<b>10</b>
<b>Finance</b>	0	2	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Environmental Services</b>	0	5	1	<b>6</b>
<b>Leisure &amp; Cultural Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Legal, Equalities, Democratic Services &amp; Property</b>	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>ICT</b>	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Planning Services</b>	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Housing</b>	0	5	3	<b>8</b>
<b>Community Services</b>	0	1	2	<b>3</b>
<b>HR</b>	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>40</b>

The Red Risk are now 1. One continues to be Revenue Data Compliance.

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

#### Appendix C - Worcester Regulatory Services Risks

Risk Description	Consequences	When is this likely to happen	Current Position			Control measures
			Likelihood	Impact	Matrix RAG Status	
Loss of Data through IT failures or Cyber Incident	Disruption to Service Provision. Inability to produce records and data.	On-going	Low	High	Amber	Cyber-attacks are a growing threat. Wyre Forest ICT has effective processes and business continuity plans in place. WFDC upgraded relevant systems including Windows. The service moved to Office 365 during 2021/22, which provides better access to a range of provisions including Microsoft Teams and Power BI. Cyber security training is delivered regularly and risks identified are tackled.
Issues with the WRS database system	Impact on work planning. Self-help may not enable savings required	On-going	Low	High	Amber	New contract in place. The system provides the necessary functionality and will allow the enablement of data transfer from electronic forms which is currently in development. Complexities might arise with potential new service areas not currently catered for within the database or where existing partner databases have to be maintained in addition.
Effective and efficient Business Continuity arrangements in place	Disruption to service if e.g., Major Power failures or other reasons that access to Wyre Forest House is not possible.	On-going	Very Low	Medium	Amber/Red	The pandemic has shown that we were well prepared for the need to maximise working from home and now all staff, including some previously regarded as office based can do this. Touchdown stations remain available in partner council locations. WRS Managers do need to redraft contingency plans in the event of a prolonged IT failure or cyber-attack that will allow services to be maintained. This is the greatest risk facing local authorities currently and is one we all need to move forward with.
Maintain our capacity to achieve service delivery	Disruption to service e.g., Major staff sickness (e.g., flu pandemic) or Unable to recruit or retain suitably qualified staff.	On-going	Low	Medium	Amber	The pandemic response has shown that the service was well-placed to respond to what was required. Consultants are available to provide short term cover and, whilst this worked well in peacetime to cover peak demand periods, the pandemic has revealed the

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

						<p>limits to this type of capacity. These pressures will only be resolved in the longer term by local and central government investing in additional capacity and additional training to bring more people into the regulatory professions.</p> <p>Having taken on contracts with additional authorities the demand has increased, and neighbouring authorities have lost the ability to assist with some technical specialisms. This is the double-edged sword of effectively operating as a centre of excellence. Whilst we have good resource of our own, in event of an issue, there are limits to who we can ask for help but it does mean that we are better resourced and qualified than would be possible if we didn't provide such services for income.</p> <p>Regional and sub-regional groups are in place so can provide shared resources for local authorities if required.</p> <p>Effective training and development processes are in place to ensure recruitment and retention of staff. There is increased training budget pressure, reduced technical knowledge in neighbouring authorities and increased importance in maintaining heightened skills for contractual obligations and commercial edge.</p> <p>Regular inventory and maintenance of equipment is undertaken. In the future, budget for replace may be an issue but would be a relatively small amount for partners to share.</p>
Pest contractors cease operations.	Disruption to service. Negative media coverage. Increased public health risks	On-going	Low	High	<b>Green</b>	The Pest control framework contract has multiple pest control suppliers so the loss of one allows work to be moved to the others. This should limit or eliminate risk, although the unlikely loss of multiple companies might create capacity issues.
Effective and efficient contract arrangement for dog control	Disruption to service if no kennels available. Negative media coverage.	On-going	Low	High	<b>Amber</b>	There have been significant increases in numbers of stray dogs, dog disease, breed and behavioural complexities and supplier contracts are restricted by

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

	Increased public health risks					distance. Retendering for conventional contracts in kennelling and support services remains difficult and consideration may be given to creating our own capacity. Contractual obligations provide additional pressure. The current kennelling contracts are to be extended until April 2025.
Hosting support does not deliver necessary financial and HR support to ensure efficient management	Efficiency of management reduced; staffing issues remain unaddressed, and performance suffers	On-going	Low	High	<b>Amber</b>	Issues with the new BDC finance system have mainly been resolved, however some workarounds remain in place due to some unforeseen issues. We need to improve communication with our hosts to ensure the needs of the shared service do not get missed during any significant changes to systems or processes.
One or more partners continue to be under great financial pressure and may consider alternatives to the partnership to deliver their service	Creates reputational issues for remaining partners and increases the need to manage overheads. Difficulties in delivering highly varied levels of service	On-going	Medium	High	<b>Amber</b>	New legal agreement limits variations in contribution before partners must move to contractual relationship but this is quite high before it kicks in (20%.) Leanness of organisation minimises overheads and focuses resource at the front line. Growth strategy should generate income to support partners in the future but there are limits to this without additional capacity being added to the system. Invest to save capacity has been committed by partners to see if this achieves the necessary outcomes but even this is now fully occupied.
Robust arrangements in place in relation to obtaining legal advice and monitoring legislative changes.	Loss of cases is costly and damages reputation.	On-going	Low	Medium	<b>Green</b>	Continued close working with BDC legal team and other partners who don't use BDC for advocacy. Technical and legal training days for staff. Difficulty in keeping informed of Case Law developments. Membership and attendance of Officer Technical Groups outside the County does assist.
Service provision complies with Government requirements,  New performance regimes are introduced that the service is not staffed to address	Intervention by Government bodies  Other national bodies seek to introduce similar frameworks to the FSA Code to get what they regard	On-going	Low	High	<b>Amber</b>	Limited detail of what is required for statutory minima can make decision making difficult around what is required in law as a minimum.  The LGA is clearly aware of impact of budget reductions on regulation and has made it clear



## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

	<p>as suitable minimum levels of service.</p> <p>We understand that Government is asking its central competent bodies to ensure that regulatory regimes are fit for purpose and do not pose a risk to UK exports post BREXIT as the UK no longer has the cover of the EU taking on this role. This may make new codes or performance frameworks in areas outside of food law more likely.</p> <p>NB: Food Standards Agency is addressed below.</p>					<p>Government cannot expect what it had previously. Fewer interventions/ audits by government.</p> <p>The Service has developed systems that follow the principles of the requirements of bodies like FSA so can show some level of compliance, but service isn't operating to the letter of the current Code. This has been noted by the Agency and they are now seeking to drive all authorities back into line with the Code, with the threat of ministerial direction if required. The major changes envisaged for the Code have been delayed until 2027. And whilst this change is likely to move closer to the WRS model of operation, it is unlikely to wholly embrace the intelligence-led approach. See below for specific risk.</p> <p>Environmental reporting for Local Air Quality Management, Pollution Prevention and Control and Private Water Supply Inspection reports to Defra and DWI have received positive responses with no issues of concern raised by these bodies*.</p>
Compliance with Food Code of Practice	Adverse comments following audits. FSA, can seek ministerial direction to make LAs comply with its Code of Practice	On-going	High	High	<b>Amber/ Red</b>	<p>This is a subset of the section above line as FSA is the only body currently with a statutory code that LAs must have significant regard to, plus it has the power to apply for Ministerial Orders to force LAs to comply with the letter of the Code.</p> <p>Until recently the Agency appears to have been happy to allow LAs to experiment as long as they abide by the spirit of the code and resource the function at a reasonable level. It has now changed tack and is seeking to push local authorities to operate much more closely to the letter of the code in an effort to create additional resource. A paper went to the FSA Boar at the end of 2023, expressing concern about resourcing levels in both Env Health and Trading</p>

## BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### Audit, Standards and Governance Committee 20<sup>th</sup> March 2025

						<p>Standards resulting in a public call for local and central government to work together to remedy this.</p> <p>The partners are looking to make a significant investment in resources to address most of the Agency's concerns, but it will not allow full compliance with the Code. Having said this, there is limited risk in the variations that will remain in place and full compliance with the code would require a full redesign of operations within Community EH and further financial investment.</p>
Failure to deliver external contract work at the level expected by the business or local authority with whom we have the contract	Damage to reputation, loss of future income streams, financial impact of paying damages	On-going	Low	High	<b>Green</b>	<p>Ensure contract negotiations are clear on performance criteria and these are clearly recorded in the final documentation. Monthly reviews against performance criteria. Select staff to ensure competence of those undertaking work outside Worcestershire. Maintain strong links with the customer's monitoring staff. Intervene early with corrective action</p>
Local government Re-organisation	Ensuring the statutory regulatory functions are accommodated in any new unitary arrangements to meet the requirements of the new structure	On-going	High	Medium/ Low	<b>Green/ Amber</b>	<p>There is little for officers to do before any decisions on structures and timetable are made. The structuring of the shared service means that integration of these elements into one authority would be relatively straight-forward should a decision be taken on a unitary county model and, in the eventuality of multiple unitary authorities being the chosen model, the option to retain shared arrangement would remain, although it seems unlikely given previous re-organisations elsewhere.</p>