

Consultation Questions and Responses

Q1. Do you agree with the process proposed for those applying for the GRANT of a pavement licence set out in paragraphs 3.5 to 3.8 of the draft policy?

YES	8	88.9%
NO	0	0.0%
DON'T KNOW	1	11.1%

Q2. Do you agree with the process proposed for those applying for the RENEWAL of a pavement licence set out in paragraphs 3.9 to 3.12 of the draft policy?

YES	5	62.5%
NO	0	0.0%
DON'T KNOW	3	37.5%

Q3. Do you agree with the list of those who will be consulted before applications are determined shown at paragraph 4.3 of the draft policy?

YES	5	62.5%
NO	2	25%
DON'T KNOW	1	12.5%

If you have answered NO, what changes to the list of consultees would you suggest?

I think council should send out letters out to residential address

It is basically a matter of determining applications on the basis of common sense. If each application requires consultation with the large number of bodies mentioned then that may make them feel self-important and, possibly, delay the process of approving applications. They should be consulted about possible hazards on the highway before the application process begins.

Q4. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach to determining applications that is set out in paragraphs 5.1 to 5.13 in the draft policy?

YES	5	71.4%
NO	2	28.6%
DON'T KNOW	0	0.0%

If you have answered NO, what changes do you believe should be made to this part of the draft policy?

If the Council really desires "growth and economic prosperity in the hospitality sector" then it needs to control the mushrooming of large hospitality businesses which operate countrywide and provide mostly mass produced processed food. The Council's current policy is squeezing small local businesses which provide freshly made healthy food.

APPENDIX 1

Q5. Do you agree that, unless previously surrendered or revoked, all pavement licences granted by the Council should be valid for two years?

YES	4	66.7%
NO	2	33.3%
DON'T KNOW	0	0.0%

If you have answered NO, how long do you think pavement licences should be granted for?

Should be yearly
They should last indefinitely. Red tape needs to be cut as much as possible. The licence fee of £100 should be a one-off payment.

Q6. Do you agree with the Council's list of standard licence conditions for pavement licences, which is set out in Annex B of the draft policy?

YES	3	60.0%
NO	0	0.0%
DON'T KNOW	2	40.0%

APPENDIX 1

Q7. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach to compliance and enforcement, as set out in paragraphs 8.1 to 8.11 of the draft policy?

YES	4	80.0%
NO	1	20.0%
DON'T KNOW	0	0.0%

If you have answered NO, what changes do you believe should be made to this part of the draft policy?

The Council should not act as a judge and executioner of its own judgment. The matter has to be dealt with in a court of law.

Q8. If you have any other comments or observations in relation to the draft policy on pavement licensing, please provide these here:

Small local businesses competing with companies who own multiple branches countrywide (or, indeed, internationally) should be allowed to place a couple of tables adjacent to the front window - that is, not on the highway - without the need for a licence.

APPENDIX 1

Q9. In what capacity are you responding to this survey?

Owner / operator of a business that is able to apply for a pavement licence	1
Elected Member	0
Member of the public	1
Other (please specify)	2

Others specified:

Parish Council
Environmental Health