

2022/23 INTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL REPORT AND AUDIT OPINION

Relevant Portfolio Holder	To be confirmed
Portfolio Holder Consulted	-
Relevant Head of Service	Peter Carpenter, s151 Officer
Report Author: Andy Bromage	Job Title: Head of Internal Audit Shared Service Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service Contact email: andy.bromage@worcester.gov.uk Contact Tel: 01905 722051
Wards Affected	All Wards
Ward Councillor(s) consulted	No
Relevant Strategic Purpose(s)	Good Governance & Risk Management Underpins all the Strategic Purposes.
Non-Key Decision	
If you have any questions about this report, please contact the report author in advance of the meeting.	

1. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Audit, Standards & Governance Committee RESOLVES that:-

1) the report is noted.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 The involvement of Member's in progress monitoring is considered an important facet of good corporate governance, contributing to the internal control assurance given in the Council's Annual Governance Statement.

2.2 This section of the report provides commentary on Internal Audit's plan delivery for the period 01st April 2022 to 31st March 2023.

Summary Dashboard 2022/23:

Total reviews planned for 2022/23	12 (minimum originally)
Reviews reported on for 2022/23:	15 (incl. WRS & LADS)
Assurance of 'limited' or below:	2
Reviews awaiting final sign off:	3
Reviews ongoing:	4
Follow Ups undertaken during 2022/23:	16
Number of 'High' Priority recommendations reported:	1
Revised overall plan delivery:	88%

2.3 The Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (WIASS) has delivered the 2022/23 revised internal audit plan.

- 2.4 The Internal Audit Plan was risk based (assessing audit and assurance factors, materiality risk, impact of failure, system risk, resource risk, fraud risk, and external risk) and reported to the Audit, Standards & Governance Committee for approval on 21st July 2022. It included:
- a number of core systems which were designed to suitably assist the external auditor to reach their 'opinion' and other corporate systems for example governance and
 - a number of operational systems, for example, vehicle fuelling process(housing), procurement and projects were looked at to maintain and improve control systems and risk management processes or reinforce oversight of such systems.
- 2.5 In accordance with best practice the plan was subject to review during the year to ensure that identified changes, for example, external influences, risk assessment, process re-engineering and transformation were taken into consideration during the year. An adjustment was made to the Plan and reported before Committee January 2023.
- 2.6 The purpose of the 2022/23 Annual Plan was to aid the effectiveness of the Internal Audit function and ensure that:
- Internal Audit assisted the Authority in meeting its objectives by reviewing the high risk areas, systems and processes,
 - The audit plan delivery was monitored, appropriate action taken and performance reports issued on a regular basis,
 - The key financial systems are reviewed annually, enabling the Authority's external auditors to place reliance on the work completed by Internal Audit,
 - An opinion can be formed on the adequacy of the Authority's system of internal control (reported in Appendix 2), which feeds into the Annual Governance Statement which is presented with the statement of accounts.

Resource Management.

- 2.7 2022/23 was a demanding year due to staff turnover and difficulties encountered recruiting to vacant posts. The plan for 2022/23 was constantly reviewed. The core financial areas of the business along with several systems reviews were undertaken and reported on. A variation to the plan was necessary which saw a review deferred e.g. Leisure Consultants Report. The adjustment was agreed with the s151 Officer and Committee notified.
- 2.8 The Service carefully managed its resource and worked with partners to deliver the revised audit programme for Bromsgrove District Council for 2022/23 with regular updates of progress reported before Committee. The s151 Officer was

kept briefed during the year regarding overall progress and was instrumental in the plan variance along with enhancing the process to achieve quicker management sign off. There was also regular reporting to both SMT and CMT.

- 2.9 During 2022/23, audit reviews totalled 15 and full audit reports, where appropriate, were provided before Committee containing the Auditors opinion on the effectiveness of the controls operating within those areas. The action plans containing recommendations to address the identified control weaknesses were also reported.
- 2.10 Based on the audits performed in accordance with the revised audit plan the Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Services Manager has concluded that, generally, the internal control arrangements during 2022/23 managed the principal risks identified in the revised audit plan. The difficulties experienced with the financial system has spread potential risk across the organisation which has been acknowledged with the issue of the s24 Notice by External Audit. There continues to be areas of risk within the organisation where further mitigation work is required which has been highlighted by several 'moderate' assurance outcomes e.g. fuel usage and risk management. A budget monitoring audit was undertaken but due to the known issues surrounding the new financial system, ongoing difficulties being experienced with cash receipting interfacing and the direct impact this had on reconciliations there was no value to be added by undertaking a full main ledger review as the risks and required actions were already known.

Annual Governance Statement ~ Assurance Checklist Statements 2022/23

- 2.11 It is the responsibility of management to maintain the Authority's internal control framework and ensure that controls are being complied with.
- 2.12 In order to ascertain management's view on this and in order to identify any areas where current or emerging risks in relation to internal controls may exist, all Fourth Tier Managers were asked to complete an internal control checklist covering Strategic and Operational, Human Resources, Corporate Procedure Documents, Service Specific Procedures, Risk Management and Anti Fraud, Performance Management and Data Quality, Inventories and independent recommendations from outside bodies including audit.
- 2.13 Officers were required to acknowledge their responsibilities for establishing and maintaining adequate and effective systems of internal control in the services for which they are responsible and confirmed that those controls were operating effectively except when reported otherwise.
- 2.14 A review of the returned statements identified the following theme:
- Financial monitoring reports are improving but there remains work to be done.

Work of interest to the External Auditor

- 2.15 The results of the work performed on seven systems audits is of direct interest to External Audit and reports are passed to the external auditor on request for their information. Internal Audit has worked closely with External Audit regarding the risk the new financial systems have created. With external audit increasing their sampling there is more scope for duplication in some of the financial areas e.g. Benefits. To eliminate duplication discussions are to take place to consider the position and assurance requirements.

Follow Up Audits

- 2.16 A summary of audit follow ups for the year is provided as part of Appendix 1. This area of work is undertaken to ensure that potential risks to the authority are mitigated. The outcome of this work is reported on an exception basis. There have been no exceptions reported to the Committee during 2022/23. Follow ups, and any exceptions, will continue to be reported but the number of additional visits to ensure points are satisfied is continuing to decrease compared with the previous years and there has been more regular CMT engagement in this regard. The full reports have been presented before Committee rather than a summary position to aid context and transparency.

Quality Measures

- 2.17 Managers are asked to provide feedback regarding systems audits that have taken place by completing a questionnaire. At the conclusion of each audit a feedback questionnaire is sent to the responsible manager and an analysis of those returned along with anecdotal evidence during the year shows a very high satisfaction with the audit product – see Appendix 1.
- 2.18 To further assist the Committee with their assurance of the overall delivery the Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service conforms to Public Sector Internal Audit Standards as amended. All staff work to a given methodology and have access to the internal audit reference material and Charter which are updated regularly to reflect the requirements of the standards and the changing environment that WIASS is auditing in. A copy of the Audit Charter is included at Appendix 3 for information. There have been no significant adjustments to the Charter but there are planned changes to the methodology during 2023/24 which will be reflected in the Charter presented before Committee next year.

Independence and Safeguards

- 2.19 Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service activity is organisationally independent. Internal Audit reports to the s151 Officer but has a direct and unrestricted access to senior management and the Chair of the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee.

2.20 Further quality control measures embedded in the service include individual audit reviews and regular Client Officer feedback. Staff work to a given methodology and have access to the internal audit reference material and Charter which have been updated to reflect the requirements of the standards. The Charter is included as part of this report at Appendix 3. Where WIASS assisted with the preparation of areas of work such as risk management there were clear safeguards in place to ensure independence was not compromised. Safeguards include review within the audit service by an independent person to those who have completed the work as well as independent scrutiny by the s151 Officer. Audit Committee can also challenge the reported findings and the minutes would record this.

2.21 The Client Officer Group which is the management board for the Service is made up of partner s151 Officers. They meet on a regular basis and consider the performance of the Shared Service including progress against the Service Plan as well as actively promoting the continuous improvement of the Service. Further improvement was identified through the self-assessment process which will be carried out during 2023/24 to inform the External Quality Assessment planned for January 2024. The outcome of the External Quality Assessment will be reported before Committee.

Assurance Sources

2.22 We recognise there are other review functions providing other sources of assurance (both internally and externally) over aspects of the Council's operations. Where possible we seek to place reliance on such work thus increasing the internal audit assurance coverage e.g. ICT ethical hacking.

Other Operational Work

2.23 Work is continuing in respect of the National Fraud Initiative (NFI) exercise. Appropriate action is being taken and work is progressing to identify any potentially fraudulent activity for example overpayment for housing benefits, income support, etc. The last significant data upload was January 2023 with the results continuing to be worked on. WIASS continue with a coordinating role regarding this process.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no direct financial implications arising out of this report. The Authority is billed for the number of days that are delivered during the year and contribution adjustments are made to reflect a revised position. The strategic financial position of the Service is monitored as part of the Client Officer Group

meetings which take place every quarter. The 22 day underspend position, which is budgeted for, will be transferred to a reserve, as will underspend positions across the County, to cover potential pressures in the 2023/4 financial year.

4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Council is required under Regulation 6 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2018 to “maintain in accordance with proper practices an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its accounting records and of its system of internal control”.

To aid compliance with the regulation, the Institute of Internal Auditors Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (as amended) details that “Internal auditing is an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisation's operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes”.

5. STRATEGIC PURPOSES - IMPLICATIONS

Relevant Strategic Purpose

- 5.1 Good governance along with risk management underpin all the Corporate strategic purposes. This report provides an independent assurance over certain aspects of the Council's operations and the internal control environment.

Climate Change Implications

- 5.2 The actions proposed do not have a direct impact on climate change implications.

6. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Equalities and Diversity Implications

- 6.1 There are no implications arising out of this report.

Operational Implications

- 6.2 There are no new operational implications arising from this report.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:

- Insufficient completion of the programme of audit work within the financial year leading to an inability to produce an annual opinion; and,
- a continuous provision of an internal audit service is not maintained.

7.2 The s151 has provided risk management updates before the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee as part of the relaunched risk management approach. Development of the risk management system continues under the directorship of the s151 Officer.

8. APPENDICES and BACKGROUND PAPERS

Appendix 1 ~ Internal Audit Plan 2022/23

Appendix 2 ~ Audit Opinion and commentary 2022/23

Appendix 3 ~ Internal Audit Charter for WIASS

APPENDIX 1

Internal Audit Plan for 2022/23 Delivery
1st April 2022 to 31st March 2023

Audit Area	Revised 2022/23 Plan Days	Actual Days to 31st March 2023
Core Financial Systems (see note 1)	56	58
Corporate Audits	37	32
Other Systems Audits (see note 2)	61	49
SUB TOTAL	154	139
Audit Management Meetings	31	24
Corporate Meetings / Reading		
Annual Plans, Reports and Audit Committee Support		
Other chargeable (see note 3)		
SUB TOTAL	31	24
TOTAL	185	163

Audit days used are rounded to the nearest whole.

Note 1: Core Financial Systems are audited predominantly in quarters 3 and 4 to maximise the assurance provided for Annual Governance Statement and Statement of Accounts but not interfere with year end. A rolling programme was introduced for Debtors and Creditors to maximise coverage and sample size, but due to internal audit has been unable to deliver this during 2022/23 due to the ongoing challenges with the system.

Note 2: Several budgets in this section are 'on demand' (e.g. consultancy, investigations) so the requirements can fluctuate throughout the quarters potentially resulting in unallocated days. 2 reviews were deferred late in Q4 which impacted on the overall Systems days delivered.

Note 3: 'Other chargeable' days equate to times where there has been, for example, significant disruption to the IT provision resulting in lost productivity.

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee
1st June 2023

Appendix 1

Audit Opinion Summary Analysis ~
Audits completed during financial year 2022/2023:

Audit Report / Title	Final Report issued	Assurance
Worcester Regulatory Services	Ongoing	TBC
Benefits	Ongoing	TBC
Council Tax	Clearance	TBC
National Non-Domestic Rates	Clearance	TBC
ICT Cyber Security	Draft Report	TBC
Workshop Licensing Compliance	Draft Report	TBC
Transformational Team Utilisation	Draft Report	TBC
Treasury Management	24 th March 2023	Significant
Fuel Usage across Fleet	28 th October 2022	Moderate
Creditors	4 th April 2023	Moderate
Risk Management	24 th April 2023	Moderate
Debtors	20 th February 2023	Limited
Main Ledger	20 th February 2023	Limited
Geographic Information System/Gazetteer	16 th August 2022	Critical Friend
Local Authority Delivery Scheme Grant	22/23 Return Completed	

Summary of 2022/23 Audit Assurance Levels.

2022/23	Number of Audits	Assurance	Overall % (Rounded)
From 15 audits	0	Full	0%
	1	Significant	6%
	3	Moderate	21%
	2	Limited	14%
	0	No	0%
	7	To be confirmed	45%
	2	Critical Review / hybrid / N/a	14%

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee
1st June 2023

Follow Ups During 2022/23

	Year of Review	Review Area	Assurance	Follow Up Position	Indicative Date of Follow Up		Conclusion
BDC	20/21	Orb	Moderate	Completed			No further action required
BDC	20/21	Use of Agency and Consultancy	Critical review	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Strategic Acquisitions	Significant	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Accounts Payable	Moderate	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Projects	Moderate	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Budget Monitoring	Critical review	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Fuel Usage	Critical review	Completed			No further action required
BDC	21/22	Grants	Significant	1st			Returns Made
BDC	21/22	Procurement	Limited	1st			Update report provided Jan 23
BDC	21/22	General Data Protection Regulation	Moderate	2nd	Jul-23	Q2	1 recommendation implemented and 2 partially implemented. Further follow up required.
BDC	21/22	Treasury Management	Significant	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit
BDC	21/22	Accounts Receivable	Limited	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit
BDC	21/22	Council Tax	Significant	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit
BDC	21/22	National Non-Domestic Rates	Significant	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit
BDC	21/22	Risk Management	No	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit
BDC	21/22	Benefits	Significant	1st			Included in 22/23 Audit

Client Feedback Analysis ~ IA Reporting

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

Feedback is sought after the issue of the final audit report either verbally or via a feedback questionnaire. The feedback is used to assess the effectiveness of internal audit and to help improve and enhance the internal audit function. Feedback during the 2022/23 financial year has been received indicating that:

- the auditee was happy with the process and format of the audits. This continues to be further developed.
- Questionnaire feedback evidence directly indicates a high satisfaction rate with the audit product.

Overall Conclusions:

- The 2022/23 revised plan has been delivered.
- The financial system roll out has impacted and created potential risks across the organisation but is an improving picture.
- 27% of the audits undertaken for 2022/23 which have received an assurance allocated returned an assurance of 'moderate' or above but there remain several assurances to be confirmed. Indications are that these will fall into these categories.
- The client satisfaction rate of the Service remains high.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

Appendix 2

Audit Opinion and Commentary 2022/23

Internal Audit: Bromsgrove District Council has a responsibility for maintaining an adequate and effective internal audit function is set out in the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2018.

2022/23 was a very challenging year regarding the control environment in certain areas of the Council. Remote working has continued since the pandemic. Turnover of staff for the Authority has remained high which has created its own challenges within certain Services including Finance. The loss of staff and experience impacted the Finance Team along with the continuing development of the new financial system. Coupled with a S24 Notice being given by External Audit it has resulted in a very challenging control environment. Potential risk in the core financial areas has remained due to the continuing development of a cash receipting module but there has been an improving position. Appropriate and proportionate remote access to files and systems remained in place and there is an understanding of the cyber risks that could impact the Authority. Risk Management has been relaunched and is starting to become more widely discussed and managed in the organisation and reported to the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee on a regular basis.

1. Overall Governance Conclusion

- 1.1 Based on the audits performed in accordance with the revised plan, the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service has concluded that the internal control arrangements during 2022/23 managed the principal risks in several areas, but not all, and can be reasonably relied upon to ensure that the Council's corporate objectives have been met in the main. Risk remains present which could jeopardise this in the future regarding certain key areas, e.g. finance, and emerging risks will need to be identified and managed. Close monitoring of deployed measures to mitigate risks in finance is set to continue but the need to reduce the overall risk and work towards a better and sustainable approach beyond 2022/23 will be critical to create better transparency, expectation and accountability. This will be necessary to ensure the Council can continue to manage risk effectively and, ensure the development and deployment of a sound control environment where there is the potential for emerging risk. The financial system implementation introduced some key risks into the financial areas of the Council. These are ongoing at present until the cash receipting module is fully developed and fit for purpose with reconciliations linked to this fully up to date. Development has continued during 2022/23 and the organisation is in a better position than it was.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

Audit reviews continue to highlight risk in certain financial areas, e.g. budget monitoring, reconciliations. Limited assurance was reported against Debtors and Main Ledger reflecting the ongoing risk that exists. Overall progress has been made to address the issues the new system introduced and 2022/23 has seen an improving position which is set to last as the cash receipting module continues through its development and testing cycle.

2. Risk Management Conclusion

- 2.1 The Head of Internal Audit can confirm the further development of the formal risk management system has progressed over the past twelve months under the direction of the S151 Office with a view to achieving a better embedded approach for the future. This area had been relaunched on several occasions in recent years and has been a topic for corporate review for several years but over the last twelve months there has been more traction and improved reporting. Due to the development and reporting in this area during the past twelve months Audit have reported on progress. There has also been scrutiny from the Strategic Management Team and Committee. Further internal audit work is planned in 2023/24. Risk management has been present in some form in several Services, but it was not coordinated to allow for a joined-up view of risk from a corporate perspective. This is starting to change but there is further work to be done. There continues to be buy-in from the whole of the management team and continuing progress will be monitored before Committee.

3. Audit Opinion

- 3.1 The internal audit of Bromsgrove District Council's systems and operations during 2022/23 was conducted in accordance with the Internal Audit Annual plan which was approved by the Audit, Governance and Standards Committee on 21st July 2022 and any subsequent revisions.
- 3.2 The Internal Audit function was set up as a shared service in 2010/11 and hosted by Worcester City for 5 district councils and increased to 6 partners with the inclusion of Hereford and Worcester Fire and Rescue Authority from April 2016. During 2022/23 the shared service conformed to CIPFA guidance and the Institute of Internal Auditors Public Sector Internal Audit Standards as amended and objectively reviews on a continuous basis the extent to which the internal control environment supports and promotes the achievement of the Council's objectives and contributes to the proper, economic and effective use of resources.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

- 3.3 The Internal Audit Plan for 2022/2023 was risk based (assessing audit and assurance factors, materiality risk, impact of failure, system risk, resource risk, fraud risk, and external risk). It included:
- a number of core systems which were designed to suitably assist the external auditor to reach their 'opinion' and other corporate systems for example governance, and,
 - a number of operational systems, for example, procurement, projects, grants, and GDPR were looked at to maintain and improve control systems and risk management processes or reinforce oversight of such systems.
- 3.4 The 2022/23 internal audit plan was revised due to changing circumstances and restrictions regarding resources. The revised plan was delivered in full providing sufficient coverage for the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service to form an overall audit opinion.
- 3.5 The results of 15 reviews were taken into consideration. Areas which returned a level of 'limited' assurance included debtors and main ledger.
- 3.6 To mitigate risk a clear management action plan was formulated to address all issues identified across the plan. Comprehensive management action plans were agreed and the s151 Officer informed. There remains a clear understanding that further work is required to embed risk management throughout the organisation and to further development the cash receipting element of the financial system. This is set to continue under the direction of the s151 Officer.
- 3.7 As part of the process of assessing the Council's control environment, senior officers within the Council are required to complete an annual "Internal Control Assurance Statement" to confirm that the controls in the areas for which they are responsible are operating effectively. Officers were required to acknowledge their responsibilities for establishing and maintaining adequate and effective systems of internal control in the services for which they are responsible and confirming that those controls were operating effectively except when reported otherwise. The financial system and budget monitoring was a key theme identified specifically in some of the returns which will be picked up directly with management. No areas of significant risk have been identified in addition to those already identified during the year.
- 3.8 Any concerns raised by managers will be assessed and addressed by the Corporate Management Team.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

3.9 There has been a wide spectrum of assurance applied to the reviewed areas during 2022/23. The implementation of the new finance system created risk hotspots which are being addressed. Audits that have been allocated an audit assurance of either 'moderate' or above meaning that there is generally a sound system of internal control in place, no significant control issues have been encountered and no material losses have been identified give some balance to the overall picture. Any assurance provided is limited to the areas of the system where controls are in place and are operating effectively. Critical friend reviews are used to assist a Service area to move forward and provide support, guidance and an independent view when there is transformation happening. It is difficult to draw a comparison with the previous year results and corporate position as the reviews are not like for like apart from the core financial areas. An improvement has been seen for creditors but there remain challenges in other areas as already reported.

3.10 WIASS can conclude that no system of control can provide absolute assurance against material misstatement or loss, nor can Internal Audit give that assurance. This statement is intended to provide reasonable assurance based on the audits performed in accordance with the plan and the scoping therein.

Andy Bromage
Head of Internal Audit Shared Service
Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service
June 2023

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee
1st June 2023



**Worcestershire Internal Audit
Shared Service (WIASS)**

Internal Audit Charter

Bromsgrove District Council

Definitions

1. Management refers to the Chief Executive, Executive Directors, Heads of Service and Service Managers
2. Board refers to the Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

This Charter was last reviewed by the Audit, Standards and Standards Committee during July 2022.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

1. Introduction

Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this charter is to define what Internal Audit is and explain its purpose, role and responsibilities.

Provision of Internal Audit Services

- 1.2 WIASS covers five district authorities Wychavon, Malvern Hills, Bromsgrove, Redditch and Worcester and one Fire Service, Hereford and Worcester Fire and Rescue Authority. WIASS will provide internal audit services to third parties under contractual arrangements.

Worcester City Council hosts the Shared Service provision under an on-going Administrative Collaborative Agreement. It is governed by a Client Officer Group (COG) which is made up of the district and Fire Service s151 officers each having an 'equal say'. The Client Officer Group meets approximately 4 times a year.

- 1.3 For line management matters internal audit will report to the Corporate Director of Resources (s151 Officer within Worcester City Council) and the Monitoring Officer in their prolonged absence.

2. Mission and Definition

- 2.1 Mission:

"To enhance and protect organisational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight".

Additional information can be found on the local intranet site:

<https://staffroom.worcester.gov.uk/internal-audit>

- 2.2 Definition:

Internal Auditing is an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisation's operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bring a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes.

3. Scope and Authority of Internal Audit Work

- 3.1 Under the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 No. 234 Part 2 Regulation 5:

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

(1) A relevant authority must undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of its risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account public sector internal auditing standards or guidance.

(2) Any officer or member of a relevant authority must, if required to do so for the purposes of the internal audit—

(a) make available such documents and records; and

(b) supply such information and explanations;

as are considered necessary by those conducting the internal audit.

(3) In this regulation "documents and records" includes information recorded in an electronic form.

To aid compliance with Regulation 5 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2018 as amended, the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006 details that "Internal Audit should work in partnership with management to improve the control environment and assist the organisation in achieving its objectives".

Internal Audit work should be planned, controlled and recorded in order to determine priorities, establish and achieve objectives.

3.2 In the course of their reviews internal audit staff, under the direction of the Head of Service, shall have authority in all partner organisations to:-

- at all reasonable times after taking account of audit requirements, enter on any partners' premises or land;
- have access to, and where internal audit deem necessary take into their possession, any records, documents and correspondence relating to any matter that is the subject of an audit;
- require and receive such explanations as may be considered necessary from any officer of the Partner regardless of their position;
- require any officer of the Partner to produce forthwith cash, stores or any other property under their control.

for the Partner in which the internal audit service is being provided.

3.3 Internal Audit work will normally include, but is not restricted to:

- review and assess the soundness, adequacy, integrity and reliability of financial and non-financial management and performance systems, and quality of data;

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

- reviewing the means of safeguarding assets;
- examine, evaluate and report on compliance with legislation, plans, policies, procedures, laws and regulations;
- promote and assist the Partner in the effective use of resources
- examine, evaluate and report on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control and risk management across the Partner and recommend arrangements to address weaknesses as appropriate;
- advise upon the control and risk implications of new systems or other organisational changes.
- provide a 'critical friend' to assist services to achieve value for money
- undertake independent investigations into allegations of fraud and irregularity in accordance with the Partner's policies and procedures and relevant legislation
- at the specific request of management¹, internal audit may provide consultancy services provided:
 - the internal auditors independence is not compromised
 - the internal audit service has the necessary skills to carry out the assignment, or can obtain skills without undue cost or delay
 - the scope of the consultancy assignment is clearly defined and management¹ have made proper provision for resources within the annual plan
 - management understands that the work being undertaken is not internal audit work.

3.4 IA's remit extends across the entire control environment of the organisation and is not limited to certain aspects or elements.

4. Responsibility of Management¹ and of Internal Audit.

4.1 At all times internal audit will operate in accordance with the partner's Constitution and legal requirements and all internal audit staff will adhere to recognised Professional Standards and Codes of Conduct and Ethics e.g. the Institute of Internal Auditors' and/or CIPFA as well as the Partner's Codes of Conduct and Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policies.

4.2 It is the responsibility of Management to put in place adequate controls to ensure systems meet their objectives and that they are notified without delay of any instances where systems are failing to operate properly. However, where there has been, or there are grounds to suspect that there is risk of a serious breakdown in a significant system, the Head of Service should be informed of the problem and any counter measures already in hand or proposed, as quickly as possible, in order that the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service can decide whether audit involvement is needed.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

- 4.3 Similarly, it is the responsibility of Management to put in place adequate controls to prevent and detect fraud, irregularities, waste of resource, etc. Internal Audit will assist Management to effectively manage these risks. However, no level of controls can guarantee that fraud and the like will not occur even when the controls are performed diligently with due professional care. As a consequence all cases of actual or suspected fraud should be reported to the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service forthwith. The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will then decide the course of action to be taken with due regard to the Partner's Constitution, e.g. Whistleblower's Charter, Stopping Fraud and Corruption Strategy, etc.
- 4.4 Any officer of a partner organisation who has genuine concerns at raising a suspected instance of fraud or malpractice through their normal reporting channels can raise the matter under the Partner's Whistleblower's Charter directly with any of the persons named in the policy document, including the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service. Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will then pursue the matter in accordance with the provisions of the policy document.
- 4.5 Internal audit is not responsible for any of the activities which it audits. WIASS will not assume responsibility for the design, installation, operation or control of procedures. However, should any partner/client contract for specialist services within an area then the WIASS staff member assigned will not be asked to review any aspect of the work undertaken until two years have passed from the completion of the assignment.
- 4.6 The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will ensure that the relevant Head of Service and/or Section 151 Officer is briefed on any matter coming to the attention of internal audit, either through a review or otherwise, that could have a material impact on the finances, create an unacceptable risk or be fraudulent for the Partner as quickly as possible, and will ensure the appropriate Officer of the Authority e.g. Director, Monitoring Officer is regularly briefed on the progress of audits having a corporate aspect. Matters involving fraud or malpractice are to be reported in line with the anti-fraud and corruption policy. The most appropriate action/engagement of the relevant Head of Service will be determined by the HoWIASS depending on the circumstances.
- 4.7 In order to (1) maintain a broad skills base within Internal Audit and (2) maximise the ability of the team to offset the cost of providing the internal audit function to the Partner, the strategic plan will include a commitment that internal audit obtains income to the Partner from external work either from partnership working and/or selling its expertise. Such activities will be governed by targets set out in the Collaborative Administrative Agreement and will be approved and reported on to the Client Officer Group.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

5. Planning and Reporting

5.1 To meet the objectives above, the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service shall:-

- a) prior to the beginning of each financial year, following consultation with Management¹ and after taking into account comments from Members arising from the reporting process set out below, provide the Committee with:
 - a risk based audit plan forecasting which of the Partner's activities are due to receive audit attention in the next 12 months. The risk based plan will take into consideration a number of risk factors including corporate risk register, service risk register, local knowledge, corporate promises or objectives, key strategic documents e.g. five year plan and any external audit guidance. Where there is a potential difference between strategy/plan and resource this is reported to the Board²;
 - a detailed operational plan using a risk based assessment methodology showing how/what resources will be required/allocated in the coming financial year in order to meet the requirements of the Partners strategic plans. The Plans will be flexible and include a small contingency contained as part of the consultancy budget to allow for changes in priorities, emerging risks, ad hoc projects, fraud and irregularity, etc. The Head of Internal Audit Shared Service will bring to the attention of the s151 Officer if this budget is depleted so an additional contingency can be agreed. 'Consultancy', for the purposes of WIASS activity, is defined as work that is of a specialist nature and commissioned/requested in regard to an area of work activity within a service area that is in addition to the agreed partners audit plan. The work can be financial or governance based and the output will provide management¹ with challenges to consider depending on its nature. The approach to the assignment can be flexible but follow a similar path in regard to the methodology.
- b) during the course and at the close of each financial year provide the Board² with:
 - quarterly progress reports on actual progress compared to the plan and performance indicators. Such reports to highlight serious problems, either affecting the implementation of the plan, or, in the take up of audit recommendations;

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

- an annual report summarising the overall results for the year compared to the plan and pointing out any matters that will impact on internal audit's ability to meet the requirements in the strategic plan;
- c) during the course and close of each full systems/risk audit provide the client manager¹ with:
- a copy of an audit brief and audit information request setting out the objectives and scope of the audit prior to commencement of the audit and a confirmation of resource requirements for the audit.
 - draft recommendations, which will be discussed with the responsible manager¹ prior to sending the draft audit report. The manager¹ is responsible for confirming the accuracy of the audit findings and is invited to discuss the report during the 'clearance' meeting prior to the issue of the draft report.
 - an audit report containing an overview of the quality of the control system, an opinion as to the level of system assurance and detailed findings and recommendations including priority. 'Assurance', for WIASS purposes, is defined as the determination of an overall outcome against a predetermined criteria leading to an applied level giving an overall summary for the work audited.
- d) shortly after the close of each financial year provide for the purposes of the Annual Governance Statement:
- an annual audit opinion of the Partner's system of controls based on the audit work performed during the year in accordance with the plans at 5.1(a) above and reported in accordance with 5.1(b) and (c) above and on the assurance methodology adopted, and, a statement of conformance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and the results of quality assurance and improvement programme.

5.2 Expectations of Clients:

Managers and staff should co-operate with the Auditors, and responses should be made to draft reports as outlined at 3 above. Responses should include an action plan, dates for action and responsibility where actions are delegated. The final 'High' and 'Medium' recommendations will be reported to the Board².

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

- 5.3 Audit reports will be drawn up following the internal audit report framework. A matrix type report displaying audit findings, risks and recommendations along with a column for management comments, as per 5.1(c), will be provided to management¹. The report will also contain an introduction and priority categories for each of the recommendations. A covering report will be attached to the matrix providing details of the partner organisation, circulation, audit scope and objectives, an audit opinion, an executive summary and an audit assurance rating as well as a clear indication of what action is required by management.
- 5.4 Upon completion of audits, the audit exceptions will be discussed with the relevant line manager and will form the basis of the draft audit reports. The draft audit reports are issued to the relevant line managers for them to confirm the accuracy of the audit findings and content. Managers are invited to contact the Auditor if they wish to discuss the report and asked to show their response in the form of an action plan to each recommendation on the draft report. For accepted recommendations, dates for action or implementation are recorded. The managers' responses are recorded in the final reports that are issued to the appropriate Management¹ officers as deemed relevant for the audit.
- 5.5 In accordance with professional standards, after three/six months from the date of issue of the final report, follow-up audits are undertaken to ensure that the agreed recommendations and action plans have been implemented, or, are in the process of being implemented. A formal follow up procedure / methodology is used to follow up audit reports and reported on an exceptions basis.
- 5.6 Internal Audit works to the reporting quality standards of:
- draft audit reports to be issued within 5 working days of the clearance meeting;
 - management responses received within 10 working days;
 - final audit reports to be issued within 5 working days of the final discussions of the draft audit report and receipt of management responses;
 - final reports to be followed-up initially within 3 to 6 months of the date issue of the final audit report depending on the recommendation priority and residual risk, to ensure that the accepted recommendations due for implementation have been established.
- 5.7 Escalation for late or non return of audit reports will be instigated when after two requests the reports have not been provided by management. The escalation will commence with the s151 Officer being informed of the late return. If the report remains outstanding then the Board² will be

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

informed of the inaction with a view to them calling in the Officer to justify the late return.

6. 7 Principles of Public Life and how WIASS interprets and applies them.

1. Selflessness - protecting the public purse and ensuring all actions taken are solely in the public interest.

2. Integrity - completely independent and above undue bias or influence in the work that we do.

3. Objectivity – demonstrate impartiality and fairness in all aspects of our work and when reporting uses only the best evidence without discrimination or bias.

4. Accountability – provide transparency and assurance holding people to account in regard to decisions and actions and provide assurance to those in governance roles.

5. Openness – to promote and ensure through good governance that decisions are taken in an open and transparent manner and no information is withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing

6. Honesty – to provide independent assurance to those in governance of confirmation of truthfulness

7. Leadership – through the audit work actively promotes and robustly supports the principles and shows a willingness to challenge poor behaviour wherever it occurs.

For further information on the principles of public life:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-7-principles-of-public-life/the-7-principles-of-public-life--2>

7. Core Principles for Professional Practice and how WIASS interprets and applies them.

1. Demonstrates integrity:

WIASS works independently, without influence or undue bias. The audit plan is created so that there are no conflicts of interest between the officer and the audit. Potential conflicts of interest are formally checked annually with all members of the WIASS team. Areas of risk for WIASS are identified and mitigated. Potential areas of risk include, but are not limited to, auditors re-auditing Risk Management, NFI, and Regulatory Services in

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

consecutive years and Services that they have transferred from. Officers that have conflicts of interest, or if they are / have been working in the area of audit, will not undertake any audits in the conflicting area for a minimum of three years, safeguarding the officers and WIASS' integrity. Further protection is in place by using randomly selected testing samples and a series of independent review stages. All audit working papers, reports and findings are reviewed and if necessary challenged before being issued to the client by either the Head of Service or Team Leader.

2. Demonstrates competence and due professional care:
All reports are reviewed and signed off by either the WIASS Head of Service or Team Leader both of which are highly qualified and governed by professional institution standards. Regular 1-2-1 meetings are held with each officer to ensure progress and personal development. An "open door" culture is adopted throughout WIASS allowing all team members to ask for assistance advice and support at any time. Training (both in-house and external) is available and is provided should it be deemed relevant and appropriate by Head of Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (HoWIASS) / Team Leader.
3. Is objective and free from undue influence:
Independence and safeguarding is a key element of internal audit provision. All WIASS staff are vetted via the Basic Disclosure Check, as well as making a Declaration of Interest on an annual basis declaring any potential conflicts of interest with upcoming audit programme and the partners that WIASS work with. No auditor, who has transferred from a Service, will audit that Service for a minimum of three years. The Service is organisationally independent for all Partners. Although the HoWIASS reports directly to the s151 Officers of the Partner organisations the role has direct and unrestricted access to the senior management team and Committee Chair. The Client Officer Group, who governs the Service, meets on a quarterly basis and is made up of the Partner s151 Officers. They each have an equal vote and consider the strategic direction of the Service as well as progress and performance. Further independence and safeguard checks are reported throughout this Charter in the form of checks, actions and process.
4. Aligns with the strategies, objectives, and risks of the organisation:
The audit plan and it's content is discussed with Management¹and s151 Officers to ensure that risks are identified; appropriate processes, systems and strategies are tested and that areas of risk are monitored and mitigated. Corporate and service risk registers are used along with corporate knowledge and the promises and objectives. Five year plans are also considered as part of the risk profiling and plan definition.

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

5. Is appropriately positioned and adequately resourced:
As a shared service, WIASS is hosted by Worcester City Council, but audit allocations ensure a presence in all authorities that it serves across the year. Resources are monitored and tracked throughout the annual audit plan, with forecasting used as often as possible to prevent resources becoming too stretched resulting in reduced coverage. WIASS is governed by a Client Officer Group made up from the Partner s151 Officers but also has direct access to Management¹ and the Board² Chairs. Delegated powers are used should there be any resourcing issues.

6. Demonstrates quality and continuous improvement:
Continuous monitoring of the teams performance via trackers is conducted. Quarterly and annual reports are issued to committee and board members demonstrating trends in productivity and value. Individual reviews via 1-2-1 meetings are held monthly with the Team Leader and include personal development plans for all team members. Improvements and changes will also be made using external assessment as well as internally generated client feedback forms. A self assessment will be completed each municipal year to further provide assurance of quality and improvement. The Service is working with partners to ensure that it continues to provide a 'fit for purpose' Service by developing techniques that will complement requirements, continue to add value and work in a changing environment e.g. critical friend reviews. There is a continuous desire to ensure that the Service changes and adopts best practice methods as identified by the professional institutions e.g. IIA, CIPFA.

7. Communicates effectively:
Various forms of communication are adopted (verbal, written, diagram / graph) throughout the review process by all members of the WIASS team. Continued monitoring and improvements to the methodology are conducted, making the report and testing documents clearer for all users. Findings are discussed verbally with management¹ prior to the issue of a formal report. Reports are issued to Officers and Committee¹ on a regular basis.

8. Provides risk-based assurance:
The audit plans are risk based with reviews being classified from high to low risk. The review scope is risk based which drives the review without creating restrictions on the areas covered. All findings are rated high, medium or low risk. Risks associated with the findings are linked directly to the recommendation and the management action to mitigate it. The review risk is combined to create the overall assurance level of the audit,

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

which will be presented to the client with explanation and reasoning in the form of a report.

9. Is insightful, proactive and future-focused:

Insightful – where possible WIASS officers with relevant background experience will be assigned to conduct audits in similar fields. Continued monitoring of current “audit and fraud affairs” is distributed to all WIASS team members. A sharing of knowledge is encouraged in the Service and pre-engagement research. Identification of best practice is shared amongst the authorities. Reports identify areas that are working well as well as those that require improvement. Reviews look for efficiencies and better ways of working.

Proactive – scoping meetings are held for all audits allowing for changes to the audit scope in line with changes in service delivery and legislation between annual planning and audits starting. There is also an ability to vary the plan should an emerging risk present itself using delegated powers so the audit service can be proactive in providing assurance to those in governance. Consultancy days are built into the plans to allow for pre implementation of new system/process advice.

Future-focused – The Service will scan the horizon for risks and issues that are emerging. Networking using, for example, the Midlands Audit Group is used to help inform the audit plans and consultancy assignments to provide information to the partners before it becomes a potential issue for them. Monitoring of the next generation initiatives from Central Government and having a team of auditors aware of the potential risks and impact along with environmental control issues will assist in adding value for our partners.

10. Promotes organisational improvement:

Ethics and culture are key aspects to organisation improvement. WIASS reviews consider ethical and cultural aspects and the potential impact and associated risk. Liaison with s151 Officers, Senior Management Teams and governance boards where applicable to promote continuous organisational development. Audit Reports are issued to management¹ to ensure oversight of the organisation and areas of concern including common themes are looked at and improved on. High and Medium priority findings are followed up after a 3 or 6 month period respectively using an established methodology to ensure that potential risks are being mitigated and there is continuous improvement. Findings will be followed up until such time that they are satisfied. Follow up on findings will be documented and reported to Management, Heads of Service and or the appropriate s151 to give assurance of action and risk mitigation.

For further information please reference:

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

<https://na.theiia.org/standards-guidance/mandatory-guidance/Pages/Core-Principles-for-the-Professional-Practice-of-Internal-Auditing.aspx>

8. External Relationships

8.1 The main contacts are with:

- Institute of Internal Auditors
- External Auditors
- Local Authorities in the Worcestershire area
- Local Authorities in the Midlands area
- Organisations within the Exeter Benchmarking Group
- CIPFA (publishers of the systems based auditing control matrices written by Exeter IA section)
- National Fraud Initiative via DCLG and Cabinet Office

but may include other external parties as necessary.

8.2 Assurance will be accepted and reported from 3rd parties as long as WIASS can rely on their work, and they are suitably qualified to carry out the assessment. The relevance of the work will also be a consideration in using a 3rd party certification e.g. IT integrity testing.

8.3 Where work is undertaken on a contractual basis assurance will be provided to 3rd parties outside of the partnership as appropriately agreed. The methodology applied to audit 3rd party organisations will be the same as the methodology used for the members of the partnership. All of the safeguards used to protect the integrity of the audits carried out for the partnership will be extended to 3rd parties as well and appropriate reporting protocols established as part of any contractual agreement. These will be established as part of the engagement with a clearly identified engagement officer and requirements. No contract will be entered into if it is considered that the independence or integrity of the Service will be compromised. If, during the delivery of a contract, it becomes apparent that there is undue influence being brought to bare and/or that the actions of the client is undermining the ethos of internal audit the HoWIASS will inform the Client Officer Group without delay so a strategic decision can be made to avoid any potential reputational damage or compromised independence. Any assurances provided to 3rd Parties will

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee

1st June 2023

be based on the established internal methodology and the defined definitions of the different levels and priorities.

Notes

- a) In the absence of the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service all provisions relating to him/her above will apply to the relevant Team Leader in accordance with the duties allocated by the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service.

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Audit, Standards & Governance Committee
1st June 2023

Version Control:	Date of Change	Action	Updated by
1.0	2 nd March 2012	Charter for WIASS	AB
2.0	9 th August 2012	Update to Charter	AB
3.0	23 rd April 2013	Update to Charter re. International Standards	AB
4.0	21 st January 2016	Update to Charter re. legislative requirements & title changes	AB
5.0	1 st July 2016	Update re. titles and definition of 'consultancy' and 'assurance'.	AB
6.0	April 2017	Full review in line with Standards	HT
7.0	May 2017	COG suggestion: Update of H&WFRS name to reflect legal entity & 'Council's' to 'Partners'.	HT
8.0	June/July 2018	External Assessment recommendations: Update to Mission & Definition Inclusion of 3.4, IA remit Update to 4.6 regarding HIASS responsibility on briefing Inclusion of 5.7, escalation for late and non return audit reports Inclusion of 6 – Principle of Public Life Inclusion of 7 – Core Principles of Public Practice Inclusion of 8.2, assurance from 3 rd Parties Inclusion of 8.3, assurance to 3 rd Parties	HG, AB, HT
9.0	June 2021	Review of Charter	AB
10.0	June 2022	Review of Charter	AB
11.0	May 2023	Review of Charter	AB