APPENDIX (4)

T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

Gavin Boyes **Date:** 23/08/2021 **Sheet No.** 1 **of** 1

Address/Site Details:

Tree Ref	Species	DBH (mm)	Amenity Assessment a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility	Sub		d - other factors	Expediency	Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes
T1	Pine		3	4	3	10	Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify	1	5	16	Y	Some deadwood within the crown
T2	Pine		3	4	3	10		1	5	16	Y	Some deadwood within the crown , one slightly overextended branch in lower crown
T3	Spruce		3	4	3	10		1	5	16	Y	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition
5) Good (highly suitable)
3) Fair (suitable)
1) Poor (unlikely)
0) Unsafe
0) Dead

b) Longevity
5) 100+
4) 40 – 100
2) 20 – 40 (suitable)
1) 10 – 20 (just suitable)
0) <10 (unsuitable)

c) Relative public visibility
5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V lge=200sqm+)
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (lge=100-200sqm)
3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

d) Other factors
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion
3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Part 2: Expediency assessment

5) Known threat to tree
3) Foreseeable threat to tree
2) Perceived threat to tree
1) Precautionary only
0) Known as an actionable nuisance

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1 – 6	TPO indefensible
7 – 11	Does not merit TPO
12 – 15	Possibly merits TPO
16+	Definitely merits TPO