

T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

Appendix (5)

Evaluation by: GAVIN BOXES Date: 24th May 2021 Sheet No. 1 of 1

Address/Site Details:

Tree Ref	Species	DBH (mm)	Amenity Assessment				Sub	Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify	d - other factors	Exped iency	Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes
			a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility								
T1	Silver Birch	400	3	4	4	11		1	1	13	Y	Tree Highly prominent in street scene	
T2	Golden Dam Conifer	300-400	5	4	2	11		1	3	15	Y	Tree was targeted for removal due to Planning application on site	

- Part 1: Amenity assessment**
- a) Condition**
- 5) Good (highly suitable)
 - 3) Fair (suitable)
 - 1) Poor (unlikely)
 - 0) Unsafe
 - Dead
- b) Longevity**
- 5) 100+
 - 4) 40 - 100
 - 2) 20 - 40 (suitable)
 - 1) 10 - 20 (just suitable)
 - 0) <10 (unsuitable)
- c) Relative public visibility**
- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V/ige=200sqm+)
 - 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (ige=100-200sqm)
 - 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
 - 2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
 - 1) Young/V. small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)
- d) Other factors**
- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
 - 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion
 - 3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance
 - 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
 - 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features
- Part 2: Expediency assessment**
- 5) Known threat to tree
 - 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
 - 2) Perceived threat to tree
 - 1) Precautionary only
 - 0) Known as an actionable nuisance
- Part 3: Decision guide**
- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
 - 1 - 6 TPO indefensible
 - 7 - 11 Does not merit TPO
 - 12 - 15 Possibly merits TPO
 - 16+ Definitely merits TPO