

**Bromsgrove District Council
Planning Committee**

**Committee Updates
15 February 2021**

20/01274/FUL 354 Alcester Road, Burcot, Bromsgrove

- Following the submission of an Archaeological Field Evaluation prepared by Benchmark Archaeology, Worcestershire Archive and Archaeology Service have confirmed that conditions 6 and 7 outlined on pages 15 and 16 are no longer required.
- On this basis, it is recommended that Condition 6 and Condition 7 are deleted.

20/01343/FUL 56 Braces Lane, Marlbrook, Bromsgrove

- Since the publishing of the agenda, an additional letter of objection has been received from the recent purchasers of 191A Old Birmingham Road.
- These comments raise concerns with visual amenity, which has already been addressed within the report. Concerns with the accuracy of the plans have been raised, however the agent has confirmed that the site has been topographically surveyed.
- Finally, the comments raise concerns that the supporting evidence provided by Cotswold Transport Planning presents a different parking swept/analysis plan to that listed in the plan condition.
- The agent has confirmed that this plan presents the same information but has just been given a different plan no. reference for the purposes of including it as an addendum to the transport report.

20/01396/FUL 18/20 Lea Green Lane, Wythall

Two additional representations received:

Representation One:

- A neighbouring resident on Lea Green Drive is uncomfortable with attending the meeting as arranged 'virtually' and considers this to apply to many local residents.
- He asks the question as to why the item cannot be postponed until after lockdown.
- He questions how such a scheme can be allowed in this location and how familiar committee members are with the site or have they visited the site.

Representation Two:

- A neighbouring resident on Lea Green Lane would like it to be known to the Committee that the only reason she has not registered to speak is because she does not have the technical knowledge to set this up and her broadband speed is unreliable, which she considers to be unfair.
- In terms of the ongoing problem of poor drainage in the rear gardens, she reports that she recently learnt that Severn Trent propose to put in 4 drains along the far side of the plot that will empty into the nearest water course. She says that these pipes will not drain the boggy back gardens of 22, 24 and 26, which she says would be made worse by the removal of trees from the application site and making the plot more impermeable by the development itself.
- She is of the view that 22, 24 and 26 should have a land drain from their back gardens included in the plans.

Officer response:

The issue of poor drainage in the area is known to North Worcestershire Water Management, hence the inclusion of condition 15 requiring the submission and approval of a site drainage strategy.