Public Document Pack



BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

TUESDAY 18TH NOVEMBER 2025, AT 6.00 P.M.

PARKSIDE SUITE - PARKSIDE

SUPPLEMENTARY PAPERS 1

The attached papers were specified as "to follow" on the Agenda previously distributed relating to the above mentioned meeting.

- 5. Homelessness Prevention Grant and Domestic Abuse Grant Pre-Scrutiny (Pages 3 - 14)
- 13. To consider, and if considered appropriate, to pass the following resolution to exclude the public from the meeting during the consideration of item(s) of business containing exempt information:-

RESOLVED: that under Section 100 I of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended, the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of scheme 12A to the Act, as amended, the relevant paragraph of that part, in each case, being as set out below and that it is in the public interest to do so:-

Item No	Paragraph
14	3

14. Windsor Street Site - Pre-Scrutiny (Pages 15 - 76)

J. Leach
Chief Executive

Parkside Market Street BROMSGROVE Worcestershire B61 8DA

11th November 2025



CABINET

19th November 2025

Homelessness Prevention Grant and Domestic Abuse Grant

Relevant Portfolio Holder		Councillor Shirley Webb				
Portfolio Holder Consul	lted	Yes				
Relevant Head of Servi	ice	Judith Willis				
		Assistant Director Community and Housing				
		Services				
Report Author	Job Title	: Amanda Delahunty				
	Contact					
	email: a.delahunty@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk					
	Contact	Tel: 01527 881269				
Wards Affected		All				
Ward Councillor(s) con	sulted	Not Applicable				
Relevant Strategic Prio	rities(s)	Housing				
_		-				
Key Decision						
If you have any questions about this report, please contact the report author in advance of the meeting.						

1. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Cabinet is asked to RECOMMEND that:-

1.1 Subject to the final decision by central Government, that the proposed element of the Revenue Support Grant 2026/27 attributed to Temporary Accommodation is ring fenced to the Homelessness Prevention and Rough Sleeping Grant.

The Cabinet RESOLVE that subject to agreement of 1.1:-

- 1.2 the initiatives in 4.6 be approved to receive the Homelessness Prevention Grant and Domestic Abuse Grant allocations of funding for 2026/27.
- 1.3 delegated authority be granted to the Assistant Director of Community and Housing Services, following consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Strategic Housing to use any unallocated Grant during the year or make further adjustments and uplifts as necessary to ensure full utilisation of the Grants for 2026/27 in support of existing or new schemes;

1. BACKGROUND

CABINET

19th November 2025

- 1.1 From 2026/27, the government plans to consolidate several existing grants into a single Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Grant. This new grant will encompass funding for prevention and relief activities currently funded through the Homelessness Prevention Grant, as well as the Rough Sleeping Prevention and Recovery Grant. It is expected that this will be a three year award.
- 1.2 Officers propose that the grants given under this funding are for a three year period with any uplift of grant allocation aligned with any MHCLG grant uplift year on year. Grant funding will be subject to satisfactory performance of the service with targets set for continual improvement.
- 1.3 The Government's recent consultation has made changes to the provision of Homelessness Prevention Grant in relation to its use towards Temporary accommodation. It is proposed that a proportion of Homelessness Prevention Grant will be transferred to the Revenue Support Grant from 2026–27. This funding will be allocated using the new Settlement Funding Assessment (SFA), which will include a dedicated Temporary Accommodation formula.
- 1.4 The percentage of Homelessness Prevention Grant allocation, removed for temporary accommodation is anticipated to be approximately 51% of the usual grant, and provided through Revenue Support Grant in the Local Government Finance Settlement.
- 1.5 This report seeks Council's approval for the temporary accommodation element of the Revenue Support Grant to be ringfenced to homeless prevention activities set out in 4.6.
- 1.6 This report seeks Members approval to award the MHCLG Homelessness Prevention Grant including Rough Sleeping Prevention and Recovery Grant and Domestic Abuse New Burdens Grant in addition to the Council's own Homelessness Grant to specific schemes recommended by the Development and Enabling Manager. Additionally, it seeks to delegate authority to the Assistant Director Community and Housing Services, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Strategic Housing, to allocate any underspend of grant during 2026/27 on schemes to prevent homelessness and assist those who become homeless.
- 1.7 The purpose of the ringfenced Homelessness Prevention Grant fund is to give local authorities control and flexibility in managing homelessness pressures and supporting those who are at risk of homelessness. The Government expects local authorities to use it to deliver the following priorities:

CABINET

19th November 2025

- To fully enforce the Homelessness Reduction Act and contribute to ending rough sleeping by increasing activity to prevent single homelessness.
- Reduce family temporary accommodation numbers through maximising family homelessness prevention
- Eliminate the use of unsuitable bed and breakfast accommodation for families for longer than the statutory six week limit.
- The Government requires that at least 49% of the grant is spent on prevention and relief activities and staffing.
- 1.8 The council received a number of applications for this funding and the above criteria was used to support the award of this grant.
- 2.9 Domestic Abuse New Burdens Grant funding has been allocated to ensure that councils can comply with the requirements to provide safe and supported accommodation for those fleeing domestic abuse and provide monitoring of services to central government via Worcestershire County Council who are responsible for the overall duties set out in the Domestic Abuse Act 2021. The grant will contribute to a specialist County co-ordinator post and a specialist County Research and Intelligence Officer post and will also be used to support existing services that meet the needs of this cohort.

2. OPERATIONAL ISSUES

3.1 The management and administration of grant forms part of the Strategic Housing day to day operations.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 In addition to the annual Homelessness Grant £112,000, the Council is expecting to be awarded by the Ministry of Housing and Local Government (MHCLG), Homelessness Prevention Grant £349,018, £34,899 Rough Sleeping and Prevention Grant and £35,774 Domestic Abuse New Burdens funding. This report sets out how the Council intends to utilise this funding to create a package of support and services to prevent homelessness and support those who become homeless.
- 3.2 It is anticipated that 51% of this the £349,018 MHCLG Homelessness Prevention Grant and £34,899 Rough Sleeping and Prevention Grant

CABINET

19th November 2025

will form part of the Revenue Support Grant in the Local Government Finance Settlement which would equate to £195,798

- 4.3 The Homelessness Prevention Grant and Rough Sleeping will be ring fenced by MHCLG for activities that prevent and deal with homelessness.
- 4.4 The Council has a budget of £112,000 for the 2026/27 Homelessness Grant allocation in addition to the MHCLG grant award.
- 4.5 The Council therefore has the following for Homelessness Prevention and Domestic Abuse Services and Housing Options in 2026/27 as follows:

Grant	2026/27
Homelessness Grant	£112,000
Homelessness Prevention Grant (including Temp Accomelement)	£349,018
Rough Sleeping Prevention and Recovery Grant	£34,899
Sub Total	£495,917
Domestic Abuse Grant	£35,744
Grant Total Grant Available	£531,661

4.6 It is recommended that the funding is allocated as follows:

Homelessness Grant Allocation	2026/27 £ (up to £495,917)
The Council is committed to maintain the sper	
meet its statutory duties under homelessness	legislation
BDHT Housing Agency Agreement Top Up Staffing Costs	52,475
Static Temporary Accommodation for an additional 4 units of accommodation	30,688
Worcestershire Strategic Housing Partnership Co-ordinator – contribution towards county-wide development and delivery of housing initiatives in partnership with other agencies	10,500
Severe Emergency Weather Provision	18,347
St Basils Foyer – provides stable accommodation/support for young people - 14 units – fully occupied during last financial year	50,203

CABINET

19th November 2025

Underspend	£0
Total committed expenditure	£495,917
Sub Total	299,393
Rough Sleeper Access to Accommodation Fund and NFNO/NSNO	1,000
County Rough Sleeper Coordinator	5,500
Spend to Save Top Up	5,683
Part time Empty Homes Officer	7,680
Housing First/Housing Led Service	29,563
CAB – Affordability Assessments	6,021
CAB – Debt Advice for Home Owners and Private Renters	27,611
Mental Health Link Worker (part funded)	21,554
BDHT - Sunrise Project intensive support	46,886
risk of rough sleeping. North Worcestershire Basement Project - Support for young people at risk of homelessness	30,000
Maggs Rough Sleeper outreach and prevention service targeting rough sleepers and those at	35,607
GreenSquare Accord Housing Related Support – helping ex-offenders remain housed/seek employment	31,172
NewStarts - Provide Furniture and Volunteering Opportunities for Ex-Offenders – supports tenancy sustainment and provides future employment opportunities/reduces risk of reoffending	10,000
St Basils Young Persons Pathway Worker – support to prevent homelessness for under 25's and Crash Pad to provide a unit of emergency accommodation for young people.	41,116
The services support the delivery of the Coun- Homelessness Service.	cil's
Sub Total	£196,524
Bromsgrove Home Choice CBL and Homelessness Module	14,600
St Basils Crash pad – provides emergency temporary accommodation for 16 and 17 year olds	19,711

CABINET

19th November 2025

Domestic Abuse Grant Allocation	2026/27 Up to £35,744
County Domestic Abuse Co-ordinator	5,112
County Domestic Abuse Research and Intelligence Officer	4,573
Top up to DA Housing Options Officer	6,059
NewStarts Furniture Project	5,000
Basement Project	15,000
Total committed expenditure	£35,744
Underspend	0

- 4.7 With the exception of BDHT, the majority of the above are voluntary sector organisations and without this funding the support provided would cease which will lead to an increase homelessness pressures and have a negative impact on council finances and reputation. Performance monitoring of these services is available in Appendix 1
- 4.8 Their prevention role is crucial in helping people remain in their existing accommodation wherever possible. This is even more relevant due to affordability issues in all sectors. In addition, there has been less churn in social housing, so fewer properties are becoming available and social housing options are very limited.
- 4.9 An element of the grant supplements the cost of the Housing Agency Agreement 5 year contract with BDHT which ends on 31st March 2028.
- 4.10 The Citizens Advice have submitted bid for a new service £27,611 for targeted Debt Intervention for home owners and private renters who are facing repossession or eviction with an aim to intervene and provide advice to prevent homelessness.
- 4.11 Officers are proposing £29,563 for a Housing First/Housing Led service. This is currently provided by St Pauls Hostel which is a county initiative but likely to come to an end on 31st March 2026, however, the intensive support service is still required locally.
- 4.12 The Council is proposing to provide funding for additional officer hours to support bringing empty properties back into use.
- 4.13 Officers are requesting delegated authority be granted to the Assistant Director Community and Housing Services following consultation with

CABINET

19th November 2025

the Portfolio Holder for Health and Well Being and Strategic Housing to use any unallocated Grant during the year or make further adjustments to current initiatives as necessary to ensure full utilisation of the Grant for 2026/27.

5. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 The Council has statutory duty under the Housing Act 1996 (as amended) to assist those who are threatened with homelessness or experiencing actual homelessness and has placed additional duties on the Council regarding preventing and relieving homelessness.
- 5.2 The Homelessness Prevention Grant has been ring fenced to homelessness prevention and tackling homelessness by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government.
- 5.3 The Domestic Abuse New Burdens Grant has been provided to ensure that councils comply with the requirements of the Domestic Abuse Act 2021.

6. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Local Government Reorganisation

6.1 The new unitary authority/authorities will be required as a local housing authority to provide duties under Homelessness legislation. The 3 year funding allocation to organisations would include a clause that it is subject to any implications arising from Local Government Reorganisation.

Relevant Council Priority

- 6.2 Homelessness Prevention Grant and Domestic Abuse Grant supports the Council's priority of Housing. It allows the Council to support a range of holistic services to help prevent or tackle homelessness and rough sleeping in the district.
- 6.3 The combination of practical support such as furniture, compliments those services that provide outreach support to help clients access accommodation, sustain tenancies, manage budgets, engage in positive activities and access employment.

Climate Change Implications

CABINET

19th November 2025

6.4 The recycling of furniture supports the Council's green thread as it minimises waste and provides reuse and recycling of household items wherever possible.

Equalities and Diversity Implications

- 6.5 The Homelessness Grant and Homelessness Prevention Grant will benefit customers by offering household's more options to prevent their homelessness, support them to remain in their own homes or help the Council to manage and support households in Temporary Accommodation.
- 6.6 The grant will also benefit the larger community as opportunities to prevent homelessness will be maximised.
- 6.7 Domestic Abuse New Burdens Grant will ensure that there are resources to support the provision of a range of services available to meet the needs of those victims/survivors of domestic abuse who become homeless and need support to set up a new home and recover from their experience, many of whom are women.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 If the recommended schemes are not approved there is a risk that more households who are threatened with homelessness, or who are in housing need, will have limited alternative options. There is also therefore the risk that they may have to make a homeless approach and this could consequently lead to the following negative outcomes:
 - Increased B&B costs with 80% having to be picked up by the local authority.
 - Increased rough sleeping in the district
 - Impacts on physical and mental health, educational achievement, ability to work and similar through increased homelessness.
- 7.2 All recipients of Grant will enter into a grant agreement and have regular monitoring with officers on the delivery of the service.

8. <u>APPENDICES and BACKGROUND PAPERS</u>

Appendix 1 Outcomes Monitoring Table

9. REPORT SIGN OFF

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

CABINET

19th November 2025

Department	Name and Job Title	Date
Portfolio Holder	Councillor Shirley Webb Portfolio Holder Strategic Housing	28/10/25
Lead Director / Head of Service	Judith Willis Assistant Director Community and Housing Services	28/10/25
Financial Services	Deb Goodall, Assistant Director of Finance and Customer Services	28/10/25
Legal Services	Nicola Cummings, Principal Solicitor Governance	28/10/25
Climate Change Officer (if climate change implications apply)	Matt Bough, Strategic Housing and Business Support Manager	28/10/25



Page 13

Agenda Item 5

GRANT MONITORING OUTCOMES SUMMARY 2025 - 2026

		Basement	CABR	Maggs	GSA	Crash Pad	YPPW	Foyer		
	B/F CASES	120	3	0	11	0	21	14		
Clients	NEW APPLICATIONS	38	3	8	2	2	42	3		
Clie	APPLICATIONS CLOSED	50	5	1	4	1	43	4		
	C/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13		
S	Benefits	15		2	2	2	7	3		
ces	ID/Bank Acc/etc	28		7	0	1	21	0		
to ac	Mental health	15		0	0	0	0	0		
Support to access	Substance Misuse	0		0	0	0	0	0		
ddn	GP	3		2	0	0	0	0		
0,	Voluntary	18		2	1	0	0	0		

GRANT MONITORING OUTCOMES 2025 - 2026

	QUARTER 1								
	CATEGORY	Basement	CABR	Maggs	PSD	Crash Pad	MddA	Foyer	
	B/F CASES	120	3	0	11	0	21	14	169
Clients	NEW APPLICATIONS	13	1	3	1	2	26	3	49
Clie	APPLICATIONS CLOSED	0	3	0	3	1	24	3	34
	C/F CASES	133	1	3	9	1	23	14	184
SS	Benefits	6		1	1	2	6	3	19
access	ID/Bank Acc/etc	13		3	0	1	21	0	38
to a	Mental health services	7		0	0	0	0	0	7
ort 1	Substance Misuse services	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Support	GP services	1		0	0	0	0	0	1
Sı	Voluntary Services	7		1	1	0	0	0	9

	CATEGORY	Basement	CABR	Maggs	PSD	Crash Pad	MddA	Foyer	
	B/F CASES	133	1	3	9	1	23	14	184
Clients	NEW APPLICATIONS	25	2	5	1	0	16	0	49
Clie	APPLICATIONS CLOSED	50	2	1	1	0	19	1	74
	C/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13	159
SS	Benefits	9		1	1	0	1	0	12
access	ID/Bank Acc/etc	15		4	0	0	0	0	19
	Mental health services	8		0	0	0	0	0	8
Support to	Substance Misuse services	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
	GP services	2		2	0	0	0	0	4
Su	Voluntary Services	11		1	0	0	0	0	12

QUARTER 2

age	QUARTER 3								
14	CATEGORY	Basement	CABR	Maggs	GSA	Crash Pad	WPPW	Foyer	
	B/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13	159
Clients	NEW APPLICATIONS								0
Clie	APPLICATIONS CLOSED								0
	C/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13	159
SS	Benefits								0
cce	ID/Bank Acc/etc								0
0 a	Mental health services								0
l ric	Substance Misuse services								0
Support to access	GP services								0
Sı	Voluntary Services								0

QUARTER 4										
	CATEGORY	Basement	CABR	Maggs	GSA	Crash Pad	WPPW	Foyer		
	B/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13	159	
Clients	NEW APPLICATIONS								0	
Clie	APPLICATIONS CLOSED								0	
	C/F CASES	108	1	7	9	1	20	13	159	
SS	Benefits								0	
cce	ID/Bank Acc/etc								0	
to a	Mental health services								0	
ort 1	Substance Misuse services								0	
Support to access	GP services								0	
วร	Voluntary Services								0	

Cabinet 19th November 2025

Windsor Street Site

		T				
Relevant Portfolio Holder		Councillor Karen May				
Portfolio Holder Consulted		Yes				
Relevant Assistant Director		Rachel	Egan,	Assistant	Director	
		Regeneration & Property				
Report Author	Rebecca	a McElliott				
	Job Title:	Job Title: Regeneration Project Manager				
	email:					
	Rebecca.	ecca.mcelliott@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk			h.gov.uk	
Wards Affected		Central				
Ward Councillor(s) consulted		No				
Relevant Council Priority		Economic Development				
·		Housing				
Key Decision – No						
If you have any questions about this report, please contact the report author in						
advance of the meeting.						
This report contains exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 of Part I of						
Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended – Appendix 3						
and Appendix 4.						

1. RECOMMENDATIONS

That Cabinet is asked to RESOLVE that:

- 1) The Windsor Street site be redeveloped for residential use.
- 2) Subject to a further report to Cabinet outlining the detail of the collaboration agreement arrangements, the Council seek to enter into partnership with a Registered Social Landlord to develop the site.
- 3) The Assistant Director for Regeneration and Property and the Assistant Director for Legal, Democratic and Procurement Services be delegated authority to progress the preferred option, including reviewing administrative and contractual requirements, following consultation with the Leader, and to report back to Cabinet on the outcomes at a later date.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Windsor Street is a key redevelopment site in the heart of Bromsgrove Town Centre. Once a derelict site left vacant since 2014 after Worcestershire County Council and the Fire Service moved to new premises, it faced persistent challenges with vandalism and anti-social

Cabinet 19th November 2025

behaviour. Thanks to intervention from Bromsgrove District Council, the site will now be fully remediated and made ready for exciting redevelopment opportunities, helping to revitalise this central part of the town. A plan of the site can be found at Appendix 1.

- 2.2 Previous attempts to redevelop the site had been unsuccessful, due to both concerns around abnormal costs for decontamination and regarding the scale and design of the proposals which were not valid in planning terms. As a result, the public sector, through Bromsgrove District Council, have taken the lead by acquiring the site and looking to de-risk and provide for a viable development to be delivered. The site is identified in various adopted plans and strategies as offering a key redevelopment opportunity to provide new housing stock in a sustainable location in the heart of Bromsgrove Town Centre.
- 2.3 Bromsgrove District Council made a successful application to the Levelling Up Fund (LUF) for £14.5m. In the LUF application, it was stated that the project could enable the delivery of up to 60 high quality residential units in the town centre to help rebalance the residential market and subsequently increase footfall and time spent in the town centre, strengthening the local economy. The total budget attributed to the Windsor Street site is £3,490,000.
- 2.4 In addition to the LUF funds, a further £84,000 was awarded from the UK Shared Prosperity Fund (UKSPF), £100,000 from the One Public Estate (OPE) programme and an additional £722,000 was secured from the Brownfield Land Release Fund (BLRF).
- 2.5 The Council has been able to secure the future of the site and bring it forward for redevelopment without any funding needing to be supplied by the authority so far. The works have been solely funded by external funding partners.
- 2.6 Thomas Lister Surveyors were commissioned to review potential future uses of the site. They concluded that the redevelopment of the site for retail purposes is not likely to be commercially attractive and that office demand would be met by the Nailers Yard development. This advice, combined with the fact that BLRF funding must be used to accelerate the release of housing sites, means that the project team have only considered residential use on the site. If the site does not deliver housing, the BLRF funding (£722k) and OPE funding (£100k) would have to be repaid by the Council.

Cabinet 19th November 2025

3. CURRENT POSITION

- 3.1 As of November 2025, Brownfield Solutions have completed phase one of the remediation strategy. This included groundwater monitoring following the removal of contaminated soil. These results have been submitted to the Environment Agency (EA) for technical review. Once they have completed their review, feedback will be provided to the Council.
- 3.2 Once feedback is received from the EA, the Council will likely have to undertake phase two remediation which will include localised work in the remaining areas of the site that are still above the levels of contamination that the EA is willing to accept. This is in the south-east area of the site. There is an allowance within the existing budget to undertake phase 2 remediation works.
- 3.3 The project is currently delayed due to the technical review by the Environment Agency. As phase 2 remediation is likely to take 6 months, if it commences in November 2025, then it will be completed by May 2026. This will not impact the delivery of housing on the site as a planning application will need to be prepared and submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

4. <u>DELIVERY OPTIONS</u>

- 4.1 In early 2022, ONE Creative produced a feasibility study to consider the residential potential on the site. They considered three options which were refurbishing the existing buildings on site, delivering fifty apartments within two four storey buildings and delivery of twenty-nine two and three bed family homes. An indicative layout of the third option can be found at Appendix 2.
- 4.2 Option one is no longer deliverable as the existing buildings could not be retained due to asbestos. The second option was discounted because the Council's Conservation Officer had reservations as to the appropriateness of the scale and massing of the building adjacent to the grade II listed Chapel. In addition, Spadesbourne Homes Ltd have recently delivered 39 one-bedroom units at the Burcot Lane development which has reduced the demand for this type of accommodation. The strategic housing team have advised that the development should provide family accommodation of 2, 3 and 4-bedroom homes in line with current housing need.
- 4.3 There are four potential delivery options that have been considered as well as a do-nothing option for comparison. Thomas Lister was asked to provide a development appraisal for three options dispose with

Cabinet 19th November 2025

outline planning permission to a private developer (A), dispose with outline planning permission to a Registered Social Landlord (RSL) (B) and develop through Spadesbourne Homes Ltd (C). The only difference between options A and B is that a sale is likely to be under value to an RSL. Therefore, these have been considered as one option below (A). Option B looks at redeveloping the site through Spadesbourne Homes Ltd. Following conversations with Group Leaders, the decision was also taken to explore a partnership option, which is listed as options C and D below but was not considered by Thomas Lister in the original development appraisal.

Option A – outline planning permission and disposal

- 4.4 Option A would involve the Council securing outline planning consent before marketing the site on the open market and inviting offers based on the consented scheme. The expected gross development value (GDV) can be found at Appendix 4. It would cost an estimated £100,000 to obtain outline planning permission. This is included and not in addition to the GDV.
- 4.5 Securing outline planning permission before disposing of the site will significantly increase its value and attractiveness to potential developers, by reducing risk and uncertainty. It allows them to proceed with confidence knowing the principle of development is approved, even if details are not finalised.
- 4.6 It will be necessary for the Council to market the site with a restriction that any purchaser must deliver housing. Otherwise, BLRF and One Public Estate (OPE) funding will have to be paid back as a condition of the grant funding is that the site would be released for housing. There may be an impact on the sale price achieved for the site as any restriction can reduce value.
- 4.7 There have been three recent planning applications for the site submitted by external applicants when the site was under Worcestershire County Council and the Fire Service ownership (not Bromsgrove District Council), one of which was withdrawn. Both applications (Ref 15/0836 and Ref 16/0191) were schemes for retirement living with alternative designs. They were both refused and upheld at appeal for the following reasons
 - a) The effect on the character and appearance of the area, including on the setting of a number of listed buildings and on the setting of the Bromsgrove Town Conservation Area (the BTCA).
 - b) The effect on highway safety in relation to parking, access and servicing.

Cabinet 19th November 2025

4.8 Given that previous planning applications were refused on the grounds above, it is unlikely that any scheme for apartments would be accepted because of the effect on the character and appearance of the area.

- 4.9 Option A is the option with the lowest level of risk to the Council and the least financial input but has the lowest level of control for the Council. This option would enable housing to be delivered on the site with a capital receipt being realised as soon as the site is disposed of but there would be no ongoing revenue for the Council. It is unlikely that more than 30% affordable homes would be delivered on the site.
- 4.10 Selling the site to an RSL below market value has legal implications due to the Council's duty to achieve best value.

Option B – Develop through Spadesbourne Homes Ltd and retain market value properties (dispose of affordable units)

- 4.11 Option B is based on the Council bringing forward the development through the council-owned housing company, Spadesbourne Homes Ltd. There were lessons learned from the Burcot Lane redevelopment project which include
 - a) Carrying out due diligence early to identify where any infrastructure is in the ground. Utility maps are often incorrect, and the developer (Spadesbourne) would be liable for undertaking any works that require relocation of services.
 - b) The major delay in the development was the disposal of properties within Allen Court due to different tenure types. Any future development that included flats, should only be one tenure type e.g. market rent or social housing within each building.
 - c) Whilst providing properties for sale assists in cross subsidy, the developer (Spadesbourne) is required to provide a 2-year defect liability period from date of purchase and the construction contractor only has a one-year defect liability period from handover to Spadesbourne. This one year also includes the timeframe for sales to be achieved. Therefore, this risk must be costed as part of the overall project budget.

The project team would ensure that these factors are considered if option B was the preferred delivery option for the site at Windsor Street.

4.12 The Council would have full control in this scenario and as such it can therefore specify quality, build and design standards to ensure that the aspirations for this site are fully met. However, as the sole funder of the project, the Council has 100% of the financial exposure relating to the

Cabinet 19th November 2025

project. Any cost overruns not covered in the contractor agreement will be the sole responsibility of the Council. The Council is also responsible for managing all risks relating to delivery and must bear the consequences should a certain risk materialise.

- 4.13 In order to test this option, an investment model has been produced by Thomas Lister which models the potential investment returns that might be generated through the Council delivering the scheme and then retaining income through the rental of the market properties, whilst disposing of the affordable element to an RSL partner. This mirrors the approach taken by the Council at Burcot Lane.
- 4.14 For the purposes of the investment model, an indicative value has been included at Year 3 of the appraisal, following completion of the scheme when it is assumed that the affordable units would be sold to an RSL partner. The estimated value of these properties can be found at Appendix 4. The payback period for the investment is identified as being year 46.

Option C – Partnership with private developer

- 4.15 Option C considers the Council forming a partnership with a private developer to deliver housing on the site. There are different types of partnership arrangements that could be put in place, including:
 - a) A Joint Venture (JV) whereby the Council and partner form a separate entity to develop a specific site. This often involves the Council contributing land and the developer contributing capital and expertise.
 - b) Development agreement whereby the Council forms a contract with a developer to deliver housing on the site, with the developer taking on the construction and management responsibilities.
 - c) Strategic partnership whereby the Council and a developer form a long-term partnership to deliver housing projects, potentially with a focus on affordable housing.
- 4.16 Establishing a joint venture structure can be time consuming and costly, as such the scheme must be of the appropriate scale to justify this route. Establishing a strategic partnership would require the Council to have multiple redevelopment sites available. Given that the site will deliver up to 50 units, a development agreement by way of contract is the most likely partnership arrangement that would be formed.
- 4.17 One of the benefits of a partnership with a private developer is the potential increased access to funding and expertise. A partnership can pool land, funding, and other resources to make a development site

Cabinet 19th November 2025

more viable. Collaboration can also lead to the delivery of more affordable housing units and risks can be shared, such as financial and development. The Council can leverage their local knowledge and land assets whilst a developer can bring their financial resources, construction expertise, and market knowledge.

- 4.18 Setting up a partnership can incur higher procurement and transaction costs. Any partnership arrangement will require careful legal structuring to define roles, responsibilities and profit-sharing arrangements. These complex legal agreements must be carefully drafted by a legal specialist. Prior to undertaking a procurement exercise, it is imperative that the Council ensure its goals align with the developer commercial objectives.
- 4.19 Given that the site is only circa 0.7 hectares, it is unlikely that many private developers would express an interest as the minimum requirement is often above 50 units.
- 4.20 It is unlikely that a private developer would seek to deliver more than 30% affordable units on the site as their focus tends to be on maximising profit.

Option D - Partner with a Registered Social Landlord (RSL)

- 4.21 This option is similar to option C but would see the Council collaborate with a RSL to deliver housing on the site.
- 4.22 If partnering with an RSL, it is likely that there would be an opportunity to apply for funding to support the delivery of additional affordable housing at 50% rather than 30%. The affordable properties could be owned and managed by a RSL (if BDC decided to partner with one) and the other 50% could be privately owned/let by Spadesbourne Homes Ltd. There is an option to dispose of the market value properties, but this would not provide an ongoing revenue stream for the Council.
- 4.23 The Overview and Scrutiny Board considered the options on 9th September 2025, and the preferred option was to deliver housing on the site in partnership with a Registered Social Landlord (RSL).

The project manager has also met with Homes England to discuss potential funding opportunities. Construction would not be expected to start on site until early 2027.

Page 22

Agenda Item

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Cabinet

19th November 2025

4.21 For option C and D, the Council would retain some control over the site with the risk and financial input being shared between the partners (to be agreed at beginning). These options could take the longest in terms of timescale to deliver as the Council would be required to find a partner before any redevelopment could take place. This process is likely to take between three and six months depending on the type of relationship.

5. **SUMMARY**

5.1 Each of the options are summarised in the table below. Members should consider what level of risk they are willing to accept and weigh that up with the level of control that they would like to retain over the site. Consideration should be given to how the scheme would be financed for each option and the timescale for delivery. Overview and Scrutiny committee confirmed their preferred option would be to deliver the site in partnership with a Registered Social Landlord. This is also the preferred option of the Cabinet Member and would provide the Council with the ability to ensure that the site is developed to meet local housing needs.

Option	Financial	Risk	Timescale	Control	Strategic objectives
Do nothing	Pay back BLRF and OPE grants (£722k plus £100k) Costs to secure site	Minimal – BDC would have to return OPE and BLRF funding Reputational damage for returning funding and leaving site vacant	N/A	N/A	No Strategic objectives would be met

⊃age 23

Agenda Item 1

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Cabinet

19th November 2025

		Ongoing security of the site			
Obtain outline planning permission and dispose	Planning permission (included within appraisal)	Low	Approximately 12 months to obtain outline permission and disposal	Least amount of control	Financial stability, the Council can reinvest the capital receipt in capital projects aligned to strategic priorities but unlikely to deliver more than 30% affordable housing
Develop through Spadesbourne Homes Ltd	100% of costs payable by Council	High (all risk with Council)	18 months for full planning permission and procurement of contractor	Total control	Option to increase social housing. High quality, energy efficient homes could be delivered
50/50 partnership with private developer	50% of the total project costs - part of this can be site value	Medium (shared with partner)	3-6 months to secure partner followed by 12 months for planning permission and procurement of contractor	Shared with partner	Private developer – unlikely to achieve above 30% affordable housing
50/50 partnership with RSL	50% of the total project costs – part of this can be site value	Medium (shared with partner)	Same as above	Shared with partner	Could achieve minimum 50% affordable housing. High quality, energy efficient homes could be delivered

Cabinet 19th November 2025

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 For option A, the Council will need to budget for further planning and design costs of approximately £100k which have been included within the appraisal. This budget recognises that the site would be marketed following the Council securing outline planning consent. Sales, marketing and legal costs have also been included within the Thomas Lister Report (see Appendix 3). This would need to be reflected as part of the Medium-Term Financial Plan 2026/27.
- 6.2 With regard to Options B, C and D, the Council will need to provide a long-term lease to Spadesbourne Homes Ltd for the properties. The Council could also consider providing a loan to Spadesbourne Homes Ltd to cover the capital investment required with a payback period as outlined in Option B (Appraisal) at an appropriate cost of borrowing.
- 6.3 For option A, the Council will receive a capital receipt following the disposal of the site. The estimated capital receipt can be found at Appendix 4 (exempt).
- 6.4 Options B, C and D would require the Council to provide capital investment to fully develop the site. For the purposes of the Thomas Lister investment model (see Appendix 3), it has been assumed that the affordable element of the scheme (30%) in option B would be sold to an RSL partner (after 3 years). Net rental income over the 47-year model has been calculated, noting that the Council would also still have ownership of the assets developed. Whilst the return is the greatest of the four options, the returns will not be realised for a number of years and require significant upfront capital investment.

7. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 7.1 There will be different legal implications arising going forward dependent on which of the Options listed is taken forward. At this stage it is difficult to be more definitive and whichever option is selected will give rise to the need for further consideration of the legal implications and scoping work.
- 7.2 For Option B further work would be needed to review the governance arrangements and articles of Spadesbourne Homes Ltd to establish that these would be suitable for purpose in terms of the project referenced in the report. This would also apply if a variant of Option C or D was selected involving Spadesbourne Homes Ltd.
- 7.3 The Council is under a duty to achieve best value for the disposal of land in its ownership in accordance with section 123 of the Local Government Act 1972. It is possible for there to be exceptions to this

Cabinet 19th November 2025

requirement if a disposal at an undervalue would achieve improvement to economic, social or environmental wellbeing of an area.

7.4 There would be legal implications arising from an arrangement to work in partnership with an RSL or developer under Option C and D as referenced in the main body of the report at paragraph 4.18.

8. OTHER - IMPLICATIONS

Relevant Council Priority

8.1 Redevelopment of the Windsor Street site is one of the key projects within the Council Plan and Centres Strategy. As a brownfield site, its redevelopment will meet housing needs whilst protecting the Green Belt. Transforming an underutilised site into residential use will increase footfall and support local businesses, contributing to a more vibrant town centre. This approach is part of the Council's broader strategy to regenerate the town centre, making it a more attractive place to live, work, and visit.

Local Government Reorganisation

- 8.2 The government intends to issue directions under section 24 of the Local Government Act requiring written consent from successor Councils for land disposals worth more than £100,000, entering contracts of more than £1 million for capital and entering contracts of more than £100,000 for non-capital (whole life costs). The timeline for the date of these has not yet been confirmed but in the meantime, the government expects councillors and statutory officers to be mindful of their responsibilities and for Councils to work together in sharing information and making decisions that are in the best interests of the whole area.
- 8.3 If the Council decided to pursue option B, C or D, there is no guarantee that a future unitary authority would not dispose of the non-affordable properties on the site at market value. The affordable properties (between 30% and 50%) would have been transferred to an RSL and therefore protected from any sale. This would need to be addressed in a further report to Cabinet.

Climate Change Implications

8.4 The redevelopment of the site has positive climate change implications as it involves the redevelopment of a brownfield site, encourages sustainable urban living and land remediation will improve soil and

Cabinet 19th November 2025

water quality. New housing will be built to modern energy efficiency standards, reducing operational carbon emissions.

Equalities and Diversity Implications

8.5 Increasing the supply of housing (including affordable) in the district helps households on low incomes by providing them with good quality housing. It is important that the preferred option considers the potential for bringing appropriate housing stock to the market.

9. RISK MANAGEMENT

9.1 Key risks associated with each option have been captured within the above SWOT analysis and summary table. A full risk register would need to be developed to fully capture and consider the risks for each option as each involve a different level of risk.

10. <u>APPENDICES and BACKGROUND PAPERS</u>

- 1. Site Plan
- 2. Indicative Layout
- 3. Development Appraisal (Thomas Lister report Exempt)
- 4. Financial Implications (Exempt)

11. REPORT SIGN OFF

Department	Name and Job Title	Date
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Karen May	31/10/25
Lead Director / Assistant Director	Rachel Egan	28/10/25
Financial Services	Bob Watson	24/10/25
Legal Services	Nicola Cummings, Principal Solicitor - Governance	24/10/25

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Cabinet 19th November 2025

Policy Team (if equalities implications apply)	Rebecca Green	24/10/25
Climate Change Team (if climate change implications apply)	Matthew Eccles	24/10/25



Appendix 1 – Site Plan





Appendix 2 – Indicative Layout





By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

